Appendix - Reserve & Resource Table

Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves, Measured, Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources for Gold (Au), Silver (Ag), Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn) and Lead (Pb) As at May 20, 2011

Reserves

Property	Reserve	Tonnes	In Situ Grade				Total Contained Metal				NovaGold Share Net After Earn-Ins							
% Ownership	Category	Millions	Au g/t	Agg/t (Cu %	Zn %	Pb %	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pb	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Moz AuEq	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pb
Donlin Gold (1) approximately 0.74 g/t Au Cutoff	Proven	7.0	2.46					0.55					0.28		0.28			
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Barrick Gold U.S. Inc.	Probable	460.7	2.23					33.04					16.52		16.52			
	Total P&P	467.7	2.23					33.59					16.80		16.80			

Property	Resource				In Situ Grade				Total Contained Metal				NovaGold Share Net After Earn-Ins					
% Ownership	Category	Millions	Au g/t	Ag g/t	Cu %	Zn %	Pb %	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pb	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Moz AuEq	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pt
Donlin Gold (2)(3) approximately 0.74 q/t Au Cutoff	Measured	0.2	6.61					0.04					0.02		0.02			
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Barrick Gold U.S. Inc.	Indicated	39.6	3.34					4.25					2.13		2.13			
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Barrick Gold 0.5. Inc.	Total M&I	39.8	3.36					4.29					2.15		2.15			
	Inferred	58.4	2.35					4.41					2.21		2.21			
	Interred	30.7	2.55					7.71					2.21		2.21			-
Galore Creek (2)(4) 0.21% CuEq Cutoff	Measured	4.7	0.37	4.41	0.52			0.06	0.67	54.1			0.03	0.34	0.04	27.0		
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Limited	Indicated	781.0	0.29	4.88	0.52			7.21	122.42	8,872.3			3.61	61.21	4.62	4,436.1		
	Total M&I	785.7	0.29	4.87	0.52			7.27	123.09	8,926.3			3.64	61.55	4.66	4,463.2		
	Inferred	357.7	0.18	3.69	0.36			2.06	42.49	2,858.3			1.03	21.24	1.38	1,429.1		
Copper Canyon (2)(5)(8) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff	Inferred	53.7	0.73	10.60	0.50			1.26	18.36	592.0			0.88	12.85	1.10	414.4		
70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited																		
	Total Inferred	411.4	0.25	4.60	0.38			3.32	60.85	3,450.3			1.91	34.10	2.48	1,843.5		
Ambler (2)(6)(7) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff	Measured																	
100% Ownership	Indicated	16.8	0.83	59.62	4.14	6.02	0.94	0.45	32.29	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3	0.45	32,29	0.98	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3
200 % Official supp	Total M&I	16.8	0.83	59.62	4.14	6.02	0.94	0.45	32.29	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3	0.45	32.29	0.98	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3
	Inferred	12.1	0.67	48.04	3.53	4.94	0.79	0.26	18.67	939.9	1,316.9	211.6	0.26	18.67	0.57	939.9	1,316.9	211.6
											,						,	
Total Proven & Probable Reserves Contained Metal	-(n)							33.59	155.00	10.464.7	2 227 0	250.2	16.80	00.00	16.80	6 001 5	2 227 0	250.6
Total Measured & Indicated Contained Metal (exclusive Total Inferred Contained Metal	or keservės)							12.01 7.99	155.38 79.52	10,464.7 4,390.2	2,237.0	350.3 211.6	6.23 4.38	93.83 52.76	7.79 5.25	6,001.5 2,783.5	2,237.0 1,316.9	350.3 211.6
Total Illierred Contained Metal								7.99	79.52	4,390.2	1,316.9	211.0	4.38	32.70	3.23	2,783.5	1,310.9	211

Notes:

- 1. These resource estimates have been prepared in accordance with National Instrument 43-101 and the Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy Resource Classification System, unless otherwise noted.
- 2. See numbered footnotes below on resource information. Resources shown in blue are reported as net values to NovaGold after all project earn-ins.
- 3. AuEq gold equivalent is calculated using gold and silver in the ratio of gold + silver + (US\$1023 Au + US\$17 Ag) 2008 2010 average metal prices.
- 4. Sums may not agree due to rounding.

Resource Footnotes:

(1) The basis for the cut-off grade was an assumed gold price of US\$825/oz. The new reserve estimate represents a 15% increase over the 29.3 million ounce reserve estimate contained in the 2009 technical report referenced below, and is based on the inclusion of additional drilling and a US\$100/oz increase in long-term gold price assumptions from that used in 2009. The increase in reserves is expected to extend the mine life from 21 years to 25 years at the feasibility production rate, and does not materially change the information contained in the technical report. It is believed to extend the storage capacity provided for in the 2009 feasibility study will excommodate the increase in tailings and that the waste rock storage facility can be modified to contain the additional unmineralized rock material. The Qualified Person for this reserve estimate is Keving Person for this reserve estimate is Keving Person for this provided in the provided Person for this provided Person for this

(2) Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Resources are in addition to Measured and Indicated Resources and Indicated Resources and other NI 43-101 information can be found by following the links below to the relevant Technical Report. Inferred Resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and whether they can be mined legally or economically. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of the Inferred Resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category. See "Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Estimates".

(3) A variable cut-off grade has been estimated based on recent estimates of mining costs, processing costs (dependent upon sulfur content), selling costs and royalties. Resources are constrained within a Lerchs-Grossman (LG) open-pit shell using the long-term metal price assumption of US\$900/oz of gold, which is a US\$50/oz increase over the long-term gold price assumption used in the 2009 technical report. Assumptions for the LG shell included pit slopes variable by sector and pit area: mining cost is variable with depth, averaging US\$2.08/t mined; process cost is calculated as the percent sulfur grade x US\$2.7948 + US\$12.82; general and administrative costs, gold selling cost and sustaining capital are reflected on a per tonne basis. Based on metallurgical testing, gold recovery is assumed to be 89.5%. The Qualified Person for this resource estimate is Kevin Francis, P.Geo., NovaGold Resources

(4) The copper-equivalent grade was calculated as follows:

CuEq = Recoverable Revenue + 2204.62 + US\$1.55 + Cu Recovery. Where: CuEq = Copper equivalent grade; Recoverable Revenue = Revenue in US dollars for recoverable gold, and recoverable giver using metal prices of Cu US\$/lb = 1.550, Au US\$/oz = 650, Ag US\$/oz = 11. Cu Recovery = Recovery for copper based on mineral zone and total copper grade. The cutoff grade is based on assumptions of offsite concentrate and smelter charges and onsite plant recovery and is used for break-even mill feed/waste selection.

(5) The copper-equivalent grade was calculated as follows: CuEq = Recoverable Revenue + 2204.62 * 100 + 1.55. Where: CuEq = Copper equivalent grade; Recoverable Revenue = Revenue in US dollars for recoverable copper, recoverable gold and recoverable silver using metal prices of US\$1.55/lb, US\$650/oz, and US\$11/oz for copper, gold, and silver, respectively; Cu Recovery = 100%.

(6) Resources stated as contained within a potentially economically minable underground shapes above a US\$75.00/t NSR cut-off

Qualifed Person(s)

(7) NSR calculation is based on assumed metal prices of US\$2.50/lb for copper, US\$1,000/oz for gold, US\$16.00/oz for silver, US\$1.00/lb for zinc and US\$1.00/lb for lead. A mining cost of US\$45.00/t and combined processing and G&A costs of US\$31.00 were assumed to form the basis for the resource NSR cut-off determination.

(S) NovaGold Canada Inc. has agreed to transfer its 60% joint venture interest in the Copper Canyon property to the Galore Creek Partnership, which is equally owned by NovaGold Canada Inc. and a subsidiary of Teck Resources Limited. The remaining 40% joint venture interest in the Copper Canyon property is owned by another wholly owned subsidiary of NovaGold."

Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Estimates

This summary table uses the term "resources", "indicated resources" and "inferred resources". United States investors are advised that, while such terms are recognized and required by Canadian securities laws, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") does not recognize them. Under United States standards, mineralization may not be classified as a "reserve" unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. United States investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of measured or indicated resources. Further, inferred resources was a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and as to whether they can be mined legally or economically. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of the inferred resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Therefore, United States investors are also cautioned not to assume that all or any part of the inferred resources exist, or that they ounces" is permitted disclosure under Canadian regulations, however, the SEC normally only permits issuers to report "resources" as in place tonnage and grade without reference to unit measures. Accordingly, information concerning descriptions of mineralization and resources contained in this release may not be comparable to information made public by United States companies subject to the reporting and disclosure requirements of the SEC.

National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101") is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators, which established standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. Unless otherwise indicated, all resource estimates contained in this circular have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum Classification System.

Link to Most Recent Disclosure

Technical Reports and Qualified Persons

Project

The documents referenced below provide supporting technical information for each of NovaGold's projects.

Donlin Gold	Kirk Hanson P.E., AMEC Gordon Seibel M.AusIMM, AMEC Simon Allard, P.Eng. Gregory Wortman P.Eng., AMEC Alexandra Kozak P.Eng., AMEC	Donlin Creek Gold Project, Alaska, USA NI 43-101 Technical Report - April 1, 2009	http://www.novagold.com/upload/technical_reports/DonlinCreekFS.pdf
Donlin Gold	Kevin Francis, P.Geo., NovaGold Resources Inc.	March 2010 reserve and resource updates: NovaGold press release - March 22, 2010	http://novagold.com/section.asp?pageid=13238
Galore Creek	Kevin Francis, P.Geo., NovaGold Resources Inc.	Galore Creek Property NI 43-101 Technical Report - January 25, 2008	http://www.novagold.net/upload/technical_reports/GaloreCreekJan2008TechReport.pdf
Copper Canyon	Erin Workman, P.Geo., NovaGold Resources Inc.	Not publicly released - updated March 2008	http://www.novagold.net/upload/technical_reports/CopperCanyonFebruary2005.pdf
Ambler	Russ White, P.Geo., SRK Consulting Neal Rigby, C.Eng., MIMMM, Ph.D., SRK Consulting	NI 43-101 Preliminary Economic Assessment, Ambler Project - May 9, 2011	http://www.novagold.com/upload/pdf/Ambler_PEA_May2011.pdf

Most Recent Disclosure & Filing Date