# Appendix – Reserve & Resource Table

NovaGold Resources Inc. Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves, Measured, Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources for Gold (Au), Silver (Ag), Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn) and Lead (Pb) As at July 27, 2011

### Reserves

Property	Reserve	Tonnes	In Situ Grade			Total Contained Metal						NovaGold Share Net After Earn-Ins						
% Ownership	Category	Millions	Au g/t	Agg/t	Cu %	Zn %	Pb %	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pb	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Moz AuEq	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pb
Donlin Gold (1) approximately 0.74 g/t Au Cutoff	Proven	7.0	2.46					0.55					0.28		0.28			
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Barrick Gold U.S. Inc.	Probable	460.7	2.23					33.04					16.52		16.52			
	Total P&P	467.7	2.23					33.59					16.80		16.80			
Galore Creek (2) C\$10.08 NSR Cutoff	Proven	69.0	0.52	4.94	0.61			1.15	11.0	900			0.58	5.5	0.67	450		
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Inc.	Probable	459.1	0.29	6.18	0.58			4.30	91.2	5,900			2.15	45.6	2.91	2,950		
	Total P&P	528.0	0.32	6.02	0.58			5.45	102.2	6,800			2.73	51.1	3.58	3,400		

## Resources (exclusive of Reserves)

Property	Resource	Tonnes		In	Situ Grade				Tot	al Contained M	etal			Nova	Gold Share	Net After Ear	n-Ins	
% Ownership	Category	Millions	Au g/t	Ag g/t	Cu %	Zn %	Pb %	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pb	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Moz AuEq	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pb
		,																
Donlin Gold (3)(4) approximately 0.74 g/t Au Cutoff	Measured	0.2	6.61					0.04					0.02		0.02			
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Barrick Gold U.S. Inc.	Indicated	39.6	3.34					4.25					2.13		2.13			
	Total M&I	39.8	3.36					4.29					2.15		2.15			
	Inferred	58.4	2.35					4.41					2.21		2.21			
Galore Creek (3)(5) C\$10.08 NSR Cutoff	Measured	39.5	0.39	2.58	0.25			0.50	3.27	220.0			0.25	1.64	0.28	110.0		
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Limited	Indicated	247.2	0.26	3.81	0.34			2.04	30.26	1,850.0			1.02	15.13	1.27	925.0		
	Total M&I	286.7	0.27	3.64	0.33			2.53	33.54	2,070.0			1.27	16.77	1.55	1,035.0		
	Inferred	346.6	0.24	4.28	0.42			2.70	47.73	3,230.0			1.35	23.87	1.75	1,615.0		
Copper Canyon (3)(6)(9) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff	Inferred	53.7	0.73	10.60	0.50			1.26	18.36	592.0			0.88	12.85	1.10	414.4		
70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited																		
	Total Inferred	400.3	0.31	5.14	0.43			3.96	66.09	3,822.0			2.23	36.72	2.84	2,029.4		
							1											
Ambier (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutom	Measured	10.0						0.15										
100% Ownership	Indicated	16.8	0.83	59.62	4.14	6.02	0.94	0.45	32.29	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3	0.45	32.29	0.98	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3
	Total M&I	16.8	0.83	59.62	4.14	6.02	0.94	0.45	32.29	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3	0.45	32.29	0.98	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3
	Inferred	12.1	0.67	48.04	3.53	4.94	0.79	0.26	18.67	939.9	1,316.9	211.6	0.26	18.67	0.57	939.9	1,316.9	211.6
Total Proven & Probable Reserves Contained Metal								39.04	102.2	6 800 0			19.53	51 10	20.38	3 400 0		
Total Measured & Indicated Contained Metal (exclusive	of Receives)							7 27	65.83	3 608 3	2 237 0	350.3	3.86	49.06	4.68	2 573 3	2 237 0	350.3
Total Inferred Contained Metal								8.63	84.76	4,761.9	1.316.9	211.6	4.70	55.38	5.62	2,969.3	1.316.9	211.6

- 2. See numbered footnotes below on resource information. Resources shown in blue are reported as net values to NovaGold after all project earn-ins.
- 3. AuEq gold equivalent is calculated using gold and silver in the ratio of gold + silver + (US\$1023 Au + US\$17 Ag) 2008 2010 average metal prices.
- 4. Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in apparent summation differences between tonnes, grade and contained metal content

5. Tonnage and grade measurements are in metric units. Contained gold and silver ounces are reported as troy ounces, contained copper, zinc, and lead pounds as imperial pounds

#### **Resource Footnotes**

<sup>(1)</sup> The basis for the cut-off grade was an assumed gold price of US\$825/oz. The new reserve estimate represents a 15% increase over the 29.3 million ounce reserve estimate contained in the 2009 technical report referenced below, and is based on the inclusion of additional drilling and a US\$100/oz increase in long-term gold price assumptions from that used in 2009. The increase in reserves is expected to extend the mine life from 21 years to 25 years at the feasibility production rate, and does not materially change the information contained in the technical report. It is believed that the additional additional unineralized rock material. The Qualified Person for this reserve estimate is estimate is estorage capacity provided for in the 2009 feasibility study will accommodate the waste rock storage facility can be modified to contain the additional unnineralized rock material. The Qualified Person for this reserve estimate is estimate is facility can be modified to contain the additional unnineralized rock material.

(2) Mineral Reserves are contained within Measured and Indicated pit designs using metal prices for copper, gold and silver of US\$2.50/lb, US\$1,050/oz, and US\$16.85/oz, respectively. 2. Appropriate mining costs, processing costs, metal recoveries and inter ramp pit slope angles varing from 42° to 55° were used to generate the pit phase designs. Mineral Reserves have been calculated using a 'cashflow grade' (\$NSR/SAG mill hr) cut-off which was varied from year to year to optimize year is not potimize within Measured and Indicated pit designs. US\$ = Recoverable Revenue = Aceverable from year to year to optimize year to sprint within Measured and Indicated as follows: NSR = Recoverable. Revenue = ToRAC (on a per to and silver, respectively, at an exchange rate of CDN\$1.1 to US\$1.0; Cu Recovery for copper pased on mineral zone and total copper grade; for Mineral Reserves this NSR calculation includes mining dilution. SAG throughputs were modeled by correlation with alteration types. Cashflow grades were calculated as the product of NSR value in \$1.4.1. The life of mine strip ratio is 2.1.6.

<sup>(3)</sup> Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Resources are in addition to Measured and Indicated Resources. Details of Measured and Indicated Resources and other NI 43-101 information can be found by following the links below to the relevant Technical Report. Inferred Resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and whether they can be mined legally or economically. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of the Inferred Resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category. See "Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Estimates".

(4) A variable cut-off grade has been estimated based on recent estimates of mining costs, processing costs (dependent upon sulfur content), selling costs and royalties. Resources are constrained within a Lerchs-Grossman (LG) open-pit shell using the long-term metal price assumption of US\$900/oz of gold, which is a US\$50/oz increase over the long-term gold price assumption used in the 2009 technical report. Assumptions for the LG shell included pit slopes variable by sector and pit area: mining cost is variable with depth, averaging US\$2.08/t mined; process cost is calculated as the percent sulfur grade x US\$2.7948 + US\$12.82; general and administrative costs, gold selling cost and sustaining capital are reflected on a per tonne basis. Based on metallurgical testing, gold recovery is assumed to be 89.5%. The Qualified Person for this resource estimate is Kevin Francis, P.Geo., NovaGold Resources Inc.

<sup>(5)</sup> Mineral resources are contained within a conceptual Measured, Indicated and Inferred optimized pit shell using the same economic and technical parameters as used for Mineral Reserves. Tonnages are assigned based on proportion of the block below topography. The overburden/bedrock boundary has been assigned on a whole block basis. 4) Mineral resources have been estimated using a constant NSR cut-off of C\$10.08/t milled. The Net Smelter Return (NSR) was calculated as follows: NSR = Recoverable Revenue – TCRC (on a per tonne basis), where: NSR = Diluted Net Smelter Return; TCRC = Transportation and Refining Costs; Recoverable Revenue = Revenue in Canadian dollars for recoverable gold, and recoverable silver using silver using silver using the economic and technical parameters mentioned above. 5) The mineral resource includes material within the conceptual M&I pit that is not scheduled for processing in the mine plan but is above cutoff.

(6) The copper-equivalent grade was calculated as follows: CuEq = Recoverable Revenue + 2204.62 \* 100 + 1.55. Where: CuEq = Copper equivalent grade; Recoverable Revenue in US dollars for recoverable copper, recoverable gold and recoverable silver using metal prices of US\$1.55/lb, US\$650/oz, and US\$11/oz for copper, gold, and silver, respectively; Cu Recoverable Revenue + 2004.62 \* 100 + 1.55. Where: CuEq = Copper equivalent grade; Recoverable Revenue in US dollars for recoverable copper, recoverable gold and recoverable silver using metal prices of US\$1.55/lb, US\$650/oz, and US\$11/oz for copper, gold, and silver, respectively; Cu Recovery = 100%.

(7) Resources stated as contained within a potentially economically minable underground shapes above a US\$75.00/t NSR cut-off

(8) NSR calculation is based on assumed metal prices of US\$2.50/lb for copper, US\$1,000/oz for gold, US\$16.00/oz for silver, US\$1.00/lb for zinc and US\$1.00/lb for lead. A mining cost of US\$45.00/t and combined processing and G&A costs of US\$31.00 were assumed to form the basis for the resource NSR cut-off determination.

(9) NovaGold Canada Inc. has agreed to transfer its 60% joint venture interest in the Copper Canyon property to the Galore Creek Partnership, which is equally owned by NovaGold Canada Inc.and a subsidiary of Teck Resources Limited. The remaining 40% joint venture interest in the Copper Canyon property is owned by another wholly owned subsidiary of NovaGold."

#### **Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Estimates**

This summary table uses the term "resources", "measured resources", "indicated resources, "indicated resource

National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101") is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators, which established standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. Unless otherwise indicated, all resource estimates contained in this circular have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum Classification System.

### Technical Reports and Qualified Persons

The documents referenced below provide supporting technical information for each of NovaGold's projects.

The documents referenced below provide supporting technica	information for cach of Novacold's projects.						
Project Donlin Gold	Qualifed Parson(s) Kirk Hanson P.E., AMEC Gordon Seibel M.AusIMM, AMEC Simon Allard, P.Eng. Gregory Wortman P.Eng., AMEC Alexandra Kozak P.Eng., AMEC	Most Recent Disclosure & Filing Date Donlin Creek Gold Project, Alaska, USA NI 43-101 Technical Report - April 1, 2009	Link to Most Recent Disclosure http://www.novagold.com/upload/technical_reports/DonlinCreekFS.pdf				
Donlin Gold	Kevin Francis, P.Geo., NovaGold Resources Inc.	March 2010 reserve and resource updates: NovaGold press release - March 22, 2010	http://novagold.com/section.asp?pageid=13238				
Galore Creek	Robert Gill, P.Geo., AMEC Jay Meinyk, P.Eng., AMEC Greg Kulla, P.Geo., AMEC Greg Wortman, P.Eng., AMEC Dana Rogers, P.Eng., AMEC	NovaGold Resources Inc., Galore Creek Copper–Gold Project, British Columbia, NI 43-101 Teo	et http://www.novagold.com/section.asp?pageid=15854				
Copper Canyon	Erin Workman, P.Geo., NovaGold Resources Inc.	Not publicly released - updated March 2008	http://www.novagold.net/upload/technical_reports/CopperCanyonFebruary2005.pdf				
Ambler	Russ White, P.Geo., SRK Consulting Neal Rigby, C.Eng., MIMMM, Ph.D., SRK Consulting	NI 43-101 Preliminary Economic Assessment, Ambler Project - May 9, 2011	http://www.novagold.com/upload/pdf/Ambler_PEA_May2011.pdf				