

Figure 1: New IP results highlight largely untested targets

Favourable scale, relative depth and lack of drilling at a number of high priority targets, which are often supported by known geology




Figure 2: The 2013 IP survey penetrated to greater depth covering a number of untested areas

All historic holes highlighted have not penetrated to the target depth of IP targets but have returned copper and/or copper-gold anomalies/mineralization




Figure 3: Previous drilling highlights correlation with subsequent geophysics

From section 732100 (F39 & 41) and 730250 (F72, 66 & 15), the strong relationship between anomalies and mineralisation

Historical drilling, including F62 (Section 727,700 not shown) and F66A & 31 (Section 727950), were drilled near the peripheries of the now defined anomalies

