Appendix - Reserve & Resource Table

NovaGold Resources Inc.
Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves, Measured, Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources for Gold (Au), Silver (Ag), Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn) and Lead (Pb)
As at July 27, 2011

Reserves

Property	Reserve	Tonnes		In	Situ Grade				Tota	al Contained M	etal			Nova	Gold Share N	et After Ear	n-Ins	
% Ownership	Category	Millions	Au g/t	Agg/t	Cu %	Zn %	Pb %	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pb	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Moz AuEq	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pb
Donlin Gold (1) approximately 0.74 g/t Au Cutoff	Proven	7.0	2.46					0.55					0.28		0.28			
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Barrick Gold U.S. Inc.	Probable	460.7	2.23					33.04					16.52		16.52			
	Total P&P	467.7	2.23					33.59					16.80		16.80			
Galore Creek (2) C\$10.08 NSR Cutoff	Proven	69.0	0.52	4.94	0.61			1.15	11.0	900			0.58	5.5	0.67	450		
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Inc.	Probable	459.1	0.29	6.18	0.58			4.30	91.2	5,900			2.15	45.6	2.91	2,950		
	Total P&P	528.0	0.32	6.02	0.58			5.45	102.2	6,800			2.73	51.1	3.58	3,400		

% Ownership Category Donlin Gold (3)(4) approximately 0.74 g/t Au Cutoff 50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Barrick Gold U.S. Inc. Measured Indicated Total M&I Galore Creek (3)(5) C\$10.08 NSR Cutoff 50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Measured Indicated Total M&I Copper Canyon (3)(6)(9) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff 70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Inferred Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff Measured Indicated Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff Measured Indicated	39.5 39.8 39.8 58.4 39.5 247.2 286.7	Au g/t 6.61 3.34 3.36 2.35 0.39 0.26 0.27	2.58 3.81 3.64	0.25 0.34 0.3 3		Pb %	0.04 4.25 4.29 4.41 0.50 2.04 2.53	3.27 30.26 33.54	220.0 1,850.0 2,070.0	Mlbs Zn	Mibs Pb	0.02 2.13 2.15 2.21 0.25 1.02	1.64 15.13 16.77	1.27	110.0 925.0 1,035.0	Mibs Zn	Mlbs Pt
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Barrick Gold U.S. Inc. Indicated Total M&I Inferred Galore Creek (3)(5) C\$10.08 NSR Cutoff 50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Copper Canyon (3)(6)(9) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff 70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Copper Canyon (3)(6)(9) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff 70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total Inferred Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff 100% Ownership Measured Indicated	39.6 39.8 58.4 39.5 247.2 286.7	3.34 3.36 2.35 0.39 0.26 0.27	2.58 3.81 3.64	0.34 0.33			4.25 4.29 4.41 0.50 2.04 2.53	30.26 33.54	1,850.0			2.13 2.15 2.21 0.25 1.02	15.13	2.13 2.15 2.21 0.28 1.27	925.0		
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Barrick Gold U.S. Inc. Indicated Total M&I Inferred Galore Creek (3)(5) C\$10.08 NSR Cutoff 50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Copper Canyon (3)(6)(9) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff 70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total M&I Inferred Inferred Total Inferred Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff 100% Ownership Measured Indicated	39.6 39.8 58.4 39.5 247.2 286.7	3.34 3.36 2.35 0.39 0.26 0.27	2.58 3.81 3.64	0.34 0.33			4.25 4.29 4.41 0.50 2.04 2.53	30.26 33.54	1,850.0			2.13 2.15 2.21 0.25 1.02	15.13	2.13 2.15 2.21 0.28 1.27	925.0		
Total M&I Inferred Galore Creek (3)(5) C\$10.08 NSR Cutoff 50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Copper Canyon (3)(6)(9) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff 70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Inferred Inferred Inferred Total Inferred Total Inferred Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff 100% Ownership Indicated	39.8 58.4 39.5 247.2 286.7 346.6	3.36 2.35 0.39 0.26 0.27	2.58 3.81 3.64	0.34 0.33			4.29 4.41 0.50 2.04 2.53	30.26 33.54	1,850.0			2.15 2.21 0.25 1.02	15.13	2.15 2.21 0.28 1.27	925.0		
Galore Creek (3)(5) C\$10.08 NSR Cutoff 50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total M&I Inferred Copper Canyon (3)(6)(9) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff 70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total Inferred Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff 100% Ownership Indicated	39.5 247.2 286.7 346.6	0.39 0.26 0.27	2.58 3.81 3.64	0.34 0.33			0.50 2.04 2.53	30.26 33.54	1,850.0			2.21 0.25 1.02	15.13	2.21 0.28 1.27	925.0		
Galore Creek (3)(5) C\$10.08 NSR Cutoff 50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Inferred Copper Canyon (3)(6)(9) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff 70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total Inferre Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff 100% Ownership Indicated	39.5 247.2 286.7 346.6	0.39 0.26 0.27	2.58 3.81 3.64	0.34 0.33			0.50 2.04 2.53	30.26 33.54	1,850.0			0.25 1.02	15.13	0.28 1.27	925.0		
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total M&I Inferred Copper Canyon (3)(6)(9) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff 70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total Inferre Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff 100% Ownership Indicated	247.2 286.7 346.6	0.26 0.27	3.81 3.64	0.34 0.33			2.04 2.53	30.26 33.54	1,850.0			1.02	15.13	1.27	925.0		
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total M&I Inferred Copper Canyon (3)(6)(9) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff 70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total Inferre Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff 100% Ownership Indicated	247.2 286.7 346.6	0.26 0.27	3.81 3.64	0.34 0.33			2.04 2.53	30.26 33.54	1,850.0			1.02	15.13	1.27	925.0		
Copper Canyon (3)(6)(9) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff 70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total Inferred Total Inferred Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff Measured Indicated	286.7 346.6	0.27	3.64	0.33			2.53	33.54									
Copper Canyon (3)(6)(9) 0.6% CUEq Cutoff 70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total Inferred Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff Measured 100% Ownership Indicated	346.6								2,070.0			1.27	16.77	1.55	1,035.0		
Copper Canyon (3)(6)(9) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff 70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total Inferre Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff Measured Indicated		0.24	4.28	0.42			2 70			1 1	I	I					
70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total Inferre Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff Measured 100% Ownership Indicated							2.70	47.73	3,230.0			1.35	23.87	1.75	1,615.0		
70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited Total Inferre Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff Measured 100% Ownership Indicated		0.73	10.00	0.50			1.26	18.36	592.0			0.88	12.85	1.10			
Ambler (3)(7)(8) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff Measured 100% Ownership Indicated	53.7	0.73	10.60	0.50			1.26	18.36	592.0			0.88	12.85	1.10	414.4		
100% Ownership <u>Indicated</u>	d 400.3	0.31	5.14	0.43			3.96	66.09	3,822.0			2.23	36.72	2.84	2,029.4		
100% Ownership <u>Indicated</u>			1	I													
	16.8	0.83	59.62	4.14	6.02	0.94	0.45	32.29	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3	0.45	32.29	0.98	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3
Total M&I	16.8	0.83	59.62	4.14			0.45	32.29	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3	0.45	32.29	0.98	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3
Inferred	12.1	0.67	48.04	3.53	4.94	0.79	0.26	18.67	939.9	1,316.9	211.6	0.26	18.67	0.57	939.9	1,316.9	211.6
mened	12.1	0.07	10.04	3.33	7.57	0.79	0.20	10.07	535.5	1,510.9	211.0	0.20	10.07	0.37	335.5	1,510.9	211.0
Total Proven & Probable Reserves Contained Metal							39.04 7.27	102.2 65.83	6,800.0			19.53	51.10	20.38	3,400.0	2,237.0	350.3
Total Measured & Indicated Contained Metal (exclusive of Reserves) Total Inferred Contained Metal									3,608.3	2,237.0	350.3	3.86	49.06	4.68	2,573.3		

- 2. See numbered footnotes below on resource information. Resources shown in blue are reported as net values to NovaGold after all project earn-ins.
- 3. AuEq gold equivalent is calculated using gold and silver in the ratio of gold + silver + (US\$1023 Au + US\$17 Ag) 2008 2010 average metal prices.
- 4. Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in apparent summation differences between tonnes, grade and contained metal content
- 5. Tonnage and grade measurements are in metric units. Contained gold and silver ounces are reported as troy ounces, contained copper, zinc, and lead pounds as imperial pounds

Resource Footnotes:

(1) The basis for the cut-off grade was an assumed gold price of US\$825/oz. The new reserve estimate represents a 15% increase over the 29.3 million ounce reserve estimate contained in the 2009 technical report referenced below, and is based on the inclusion of additional drilling and a US\$100/oz increase in long-term gold price assumptions from that used in 2009. The increase in increase in reserves is expected to extend the mine life from 21 years at the feasibility production rate, and does not materially change the information contained in the technical report. It is believed that the additional storage capacity provided for in the 2009 feasibility study will accommodate the increase in talkings and that the waste rock storage facility can be modified to contain the additional unmineralized rock material. The Qualified Person for this reserve estimate is Keyin Francis, P.Geo., NovaGold Toda.

(2) Mineral Reserves are contained within Measured and Indicated pit designs using metal prices for copper, gold and silver of US\$2.50/lb, US\$1,050/oz, and US\$16.85/oz, respectively. 2. Appropriate mining costs, processing costs, metal recoveries and inter ramp pit sleeve angles varing from 42° to 55° were used to generate the pit phase designs. Mineral Reserves have been calculated using a 'cashflow grade' (\$NSR/SAG mill hr) cut-off which was varied from year to year to optimize NPV. The net smetter return (NSR) was calculated as follows: NSR = Recoverable sleevenue – TCRC (on a per tonne basis), where: NSR = Net Smelter Return; TCRC = Transportation and Refining Costs; Recoverable Revenue – Revenue in Canadian dollars for recoverable copper, recoverable gold, and recoverable sliver using metal prices of US\$2.50/lb, US\$1.050/oz, and US\$16.85/oz for copper, gold, and silver, respectively, at an exchange rate of CDN\$1.1 to US\$1.0; Cu Recovery For copper based on mineral zone and total copper grade; for Mineral Reserves this NSR calculation includes mining dilution. SAG throughputs were modeled by correlation with alteration types. Cashflow grades were calculated as the product of NSR value in \$/t and throughput in t/hr. 4. The life of mine strip is 2.16.

(3) Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Resources are in addition to Measured and Indicated Resources. Details of Measured and Indicated Resources and other NI 43-101 information can be found by following the links below to the relevant Technical Report. Inferred Resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and whether they can be mined legally or economically. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of the Inferred Resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category. See "Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Estimates".

(4) A variable cut-off grade has been estimated based on recent estimates of mining costs, processing costs (dependent upon sulfur content), selling costs and royalties. Resources are constrained within a Lerchs-Grossman (LG) open-pit shell using the long-term metal price assumption of US\$900/oz of gold, which is a US\$50/oz increase over the long-term gold price assumption used in the 2009 technical report. Assumptions for the LG shell included pit slopes variable by sector and pit area: mining cost is variable with depth, averaging US\$2.08/t mined; process cost is calculated as the percent sulfur grade x US\$2.7948 + US\$12.82; general and administrative costs, gold selling cost and sustaining capital are reflected on a per tonne basis. Based on metallurgical testing, gold recovery is assumed to be 89.5%. The Qualified Person for this resource estimate is Kevin Francis, P.Geo., NovaGold Resources Inc.

(5) Mineral resources are contained within a conceptual Measured, Indicated and Inferred optimized pit shell using the same economic and technical parameters as used for Mineral Reserves. Tonnages are assigned based on proportion of the block below topography. The overburden/bedrock boundary has been assigned on a whole block basis. 4) Mineral resources have been estimated using a constant NSR cut-off of C\$10.08/t milled. The Net Smelter Return (NSR) was calculated as follows: NSR = Recoverable Revenue - TCRC (on a per tonne basis), where: NSR = Diluted Net Smelter Return; TCRC = Tonnages are assigned on a whole block basis. 4) Mineral resources have been estimated using a constant NSR cut-off of C\$10.08/t milled. The Net Smelter Return (NSR) was calculated as follows: NSR = Recoverable Revenue - TCRC (on a per tonne basis), where: NSR = Diluted Net Smelter Return; TCRC = Tonnages are assigned based on proportion of the block below topography. The overburden/bedrock boundary has been assigned by the block basis. 4) Mineral resources have been estimated using a constant NSR cut-off of C\$10.08/t milled. The Net Smelter Return (NSR) was calculated as follows: NSR = Recoverable Revenue - TCRC (on a per tonne basis), where: NSR = Diluted Net Smelter Return; TCRC = Tonnages are assigned by the block basis. 4) Mineral resources have been estimated using a constant NSR cut-off of C\$10.08/t milled. The Net Smelter Return (NSR) was calculated as follows: NSR = Recoverable Revenue - TCRC (on a per tonne basis), where: NSR = Diluted Net Smelter Return; TCRC = Tonnages are assigned by the proposition of the block basis and the block basis and the proposition of the block basis and the block ba

(6) The copper-equivalent grade was calculated as follows: CuEq = Recoverable Revenue ÷ 2204.62 * 100 ÷ 1.55. Where: CuEq = Copper equivalent grade; Recoverable Revenue = Revenue in US dollars for recoverable copper, recoverable gold and recoverable silver using metal prices of US\$1.55/lb, US\$650/oz, and US\$11/oz for copper, gold, and silver, respectively; Cu Recovery = 100%.

(7) Resources stated as contained within a potentially economically minable underground shapes above a US\$75.00/t NSR cut-off

(8) NSR calculation is based on assumed metal prices of US\$2.50/lb for copper, US\$1,000/oz for gold, US\$16.00/oz for silver, US\$1.00/lb for zinc and US\$1.00/lb for lead. A mining cost of US\$45.00/t and combined processing and G&A costs of US\$31.00 were assumed to form the basis for the resource NSR cut-off determination.

(9) NovaGold Canada Inc. has agreed to transfer its 60% joint venture interest in the Copper Canyon property to the Galore Creek Partnership, which is equally owned by NovaGold Canada Inc. and a subsidiary of Teck Resources Limited. The remaining 40% joint venture interest in the Copper Canyon property is owned by another wholly owned subsidiary of NovaGold."

Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Estimates

This summary table uses the term "resources", "indicated resources", "indicated resources that described by Canadian securities laws, the United States States sheet and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") does not recognize them. Under United States standards, mineralization may not be classified as a "reserve" unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the reserve determination and extracted at the time the reserve determination in extracted at the time the reserve determination and extracted at the time the reserves have a great amount of uncertainty as the time the reserves have a great amount of uncertainty as the time the reserves have a great amount of uncertainty as the time t

National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101") is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators, which established standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. Unless otherwise indicated, all resource estimates contained in this circular have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum Classification System.

Technical Reports and Qualified Persons

The documents referenced below provide supporting technical information for each of NovaGold's projects.

Project Donlin Gold	Qualifed Person(s) Kirk Hanson P.E., AMEC Gordon Seibel M.AusIMM, AMEC Simon Allard, P.Eng. Gregory Wortman P.Eng., AMEC Alexandra Kozak P.Eng., AMEC	Most Recent Disclosure & Filing Date Donlin Creek Gold Project, Alaska, USA NI 43-101 Technical Report - April 1, 2009	Link to Most Recent Disclosure http://www.novagold.com/upload/technical_reports/DonlinCreekFS.pdf
Donlin Gold	Kevin Francis, P.Geo., NovaGold Resources Inc.	March 2010 reserve and resource updates: NovaGold press release - March 22, 2010	http://novagold.com/section.asp?pageid=13238
Galore Creek	Robert Gill, P.Geo., AMEC Jay Melnyk, P.Eng., AMEC Greg Kulla, P.Geo., AMEC Greg Wortman, P.Eng., AMEC Dana Rogers, P.Eng., AMEC	NovaGold Resources Inc., Galore Creek Copper-Gold Project, British Columbia, NI 43-101 Te	c+http://www.novagold.com/section.asp?oageid≡15854
Copper Canyon	Erin Workman, P.Geo., NovaGold Resources Inc.	Not publicly released - updated March 2008	http://www.novagold.net/upload/technical_reports/CopperCanyonFebruary2005.pdf
Ambler	Russ White, P.Geo., SRK Consulting Neal Rigby, C.Eng., MIMMM, Ph.D., SRK Consulting	NI 43-101 Preliminary Economic Assessment, Ambler Project - May 9, 2011	http://www.novagold.com/upload/pdf/Ambler_PEA_May2011.pdf