Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

INTRODUCTION

This management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A"), prepared effective May 14, 2010, provides detailed analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of Crew Gold Corporation ("Crew", "the Corporation", "the Company", "we" or "our") for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 ("Q1 2010") with comparison to the respective period ended March 31, 2009 ("Q1 2009"). The MD&A should be read in conjunction with the Company's Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for the period ended March 31, 2010 and the Audited Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 and the related notes thereto which have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). As a result of the debt-to-equity restructuring completed on December 11, 2009, and the Canadian accounting implications surrounding it, the quarter ended March 31, 2010 reflects the unaudited results of operations and cash flows of the post-reorganization Company and the quarter ended March 31, 2009 reflects the results of operations and cash flows of the prereorganization Company. Prior period financial information has not been restated to reflect the impact of the financial reorganization and accordingly certain amounts in the pre-reorganization Company are not directly comparable. Unless the context otherwise requires, all references to yearly and quarterly periods are to calendar years and quarters and all amounts are in US dollars unless otherwise stated. Additional information relating to the Company is available in the Company's Annual Information Form dated March 31, 2010 which is filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

HIGHLIGHTS

LEFA

- Gold production in Q1 2010 of 57,930 oz, up 30% on 44,606 oz produced in Q1 2009
- All four mills operating and plant availability target of 86% achieved
- Process plant throughput rates averaged 17,500 tonnes per day ("tpd") in the quarter (Q1 2009 average of 11,000 tpd)

Financial Results

- EBITDA (excluding discontinued operations) for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 of \$17.1 million (quarter ended March 31, 2009 \$10.0 million)
- Net profit (including discontinued operations) of \$9.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 (quarter ended March 31, 2009 net loss of \$11.9 million)

Outlook

- All mills at LEFA are expected to be operational during 2010. An average process plant throughput rate of 15,000 tpd has been budgeted to take into account the last of the planned major shutdowns
- Work will continue on improving plant availability, reliability and efficiency through the \$75 million capital program which includes the purchase of insurance spares, progressive refurbishment and debottlenecking projects with the goal of improved throughput and reduced operating costs per oz. The \$75 million capital program also includes expenditures to refurbish the mining fleet, the purchase of additional mobile equipment and an exploration

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

program and is planned to be completed prior to the end of 2012.

OVERVIEW

Crew is a mining company currently focused on maximizing the performance of its gold mining operations and exploration projects in Guinea.

Results

Following the sales of the assets of Nalunaq, Nugget Pond and the Maco property in 2009, these operations were reclassified as discontinued operations and the results of the continuing operations of the Company reflect LEFA and corporate overheads. The comparative profit and loss statement and balance sheet amounts have been reclassified where applicable.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010, EBITDA (excluding discontinued operations) was \$17.1 million (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – \$10.0 million) with mineral sales of \$57.6 million and a gain of \$1.1 million from the disposal of Maco, partly offset by direct mining and mine site administration costs of \$38.7 million and general corporate expenditures of \$2.7 million.

Loss from discontinued operations (Nalunaq/Nugget Pond and Maco) totalled \$28,000 in the quarter ended March 31, 2010 (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – profit of \$3.2 million).

Net profit (including discontinued operations) for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 was \$9.8 million (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – net loss of \$11.9 million). This net profit arises from the EBITDA of \$17.1 million and non-cash foreign exchange gains of \$0.7 million, offset by depletion and depreciation charges of \$5.6 million and interest and finance costs on long-term debt and other liabilities of \$2.4 million.

LEFA produced 57,930 oz of gold in the quarter ended March 31, 2010 (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – 44,606 oz). Gold sold in the quarter ended March 31, 2010 was 52,048 oz at an average price of \$1,105/oz (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – 55,510 oz at an average price of \$894/oz).

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

OPERATIONS REVIEW

LEFA Gold Production			
	Quarter ended	Quarter ended	
	March 31, 2010	March 31, 2009	
Tonnes mined	1,850,819	1,243,400	
Mined Grade (g/t)	1.3	1.5	
Tonnes milled	1,579,466	998,469	
Milled Grade (g/t)	1.3	1.5	
Recovery (%)	89.4	90.6	
Gold produced (ounces)	57,930	44,606	
Gold sold (ounces)	52,048	55,510	
Sale price realized (\$/oz) ⁽¹⁾	1,105	894	
Cash cost per ounce (\$/oz) ⁽¹⁾	673	680	

⁽¹⁾ Sales price realized and cash cost per ounce are "Non-GAAP" measures which are more specifically described in the section "Non-GAAP Measures" on the final page of this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Plant and Infrastructure

All four mills operated efficiently during the quarter and achieved the targeted 86% availability.

The de-bottlenecking continued with projects to upgrade the gold room and the elution and electrowinning circuits. The reagent handling upgrade work is nearing completion and minimises any potential environmental or safety issues. Two major shutdowns are anticipated in 2010 to correct issues in the milling circuit. Ball Mill #2 is scheduled to be shut down for 21 days in Q2 2010 and SAG Mill #1 for 28 days in Q3 2010.

Only normal plant maintenance is planned during 2010, however there remains some risk of partial interruptions to production, until all of the ordered insurance spares are on site. It is estimated that approximately \$75 million will be spent to complete the purchase of insurance spares, the rectification and upgrade of the process plant, the planned full-life rebuild of the mining fleet, the acquisition of additional mining equipment and the exploration program. With the exception of the cost of the mining fleet rebuild, these expenditures were budgeted for in prior years but were not incurred because of cash flow constraints. The mining fleet rebuild has been prioritized for 2010 and 2011.

The timing of all the expenditures referred to is dependent on an assessment of risk and the availability of funds.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

Operating Results

All four mills were operational during the quarter and mill throughput averaged 17,500 tpd in the quarter to March 31, 2010.

Overall mine mobile equipment availability has been significantly less than is needed due to a number of premature engine failures and to the delays in acquiring the necessary spares. Production and grades continue to be negatively impacted by low fleet availability. The shipping arrangements for five additional haulage trucks are being finalized and they are expected to arrive onsite in June 2010 which will assist in alleviating the current production constraints.

Ore mined in the quarter ended March 31, 2010 was 1,850,819 tonnes at an average grade of 1.3 g/t (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – 1,243,400 tonnes at grade of 1.5 g/t).

Ore milled during the quarter ended March 31, 2010 was 1,579,466 tonnes at an average grade of 1.3 g/t (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – 998,469 tonnes at an average grade of 1.5 g/t).

Gold produced in the quarter ended March 31, 2010 was 57,930 oz (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – 44,606 oz).

Gold produced during the last eight quarters was as follows:



Quarter Ounces Produced

Q2 2008 Q3 2008 Q4 2008 Q1 2009 Q2 2009 Q3 2009 Q4 2009 Q1 2010 53,531 46,078 52,904 44,606 40,743 37,458 54,816 57,930

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

Gold sold in the quarter ended March 31, 2010 was 52,048 oz at an average gold price of \$1,105/oz (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – 55,510 oz at an average gold price of \$894/oz).

Cash costs for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 were \$673/oz (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – \$680/oz). Cash costs in the quarter were lower than the comparative quarter due to higher tonnage milled but partially offset by lower throughput grades, increases in fuel prices and necessary equipment hire costs (such as loaders and dozers) to allow mining operations to proceed.

Reserves and Resources

In January 2010, the Company announced updated mineral resources and reserves at LEFA, effective as at August 31, 2009. Total resources at August 31, 2009 stood at 5.22 million oz, comprising Measured and Indicated resources of 4.63 million oz and Inferred resources of 0.59 million oz. Total Proven and Probable reserves were 3.0 million oz as at August 31, 2009.

The detailed technical reports are available on SEDAR <u>www.sedar.com</u> and also on the Company's website <u>www.crewgold.com</u>

Government Issues

Since the change in the Government in December 2008, a new level of political uncertainty has existed in Guinea. However, in early 2010, a new interim government which incorporates members of opposition parties has been sworn in and has brought about an increased level of political stability. The promise of democratic elections may signify some level of medium to longer term stability within the country.

The previously established interim Government from December 2008 shut the mine down briefly on various occasions as they underwent a process of increasing their understanding of the operations and the related agreements. LEFA was subject to the following reviews by the Government during 2009:

- Environmental closure liabilities while the project's foundation document, the Convention de Base, calls for the closure process to be funded by the Company at the end of the mine's life, the Government requested a cash deposit to cover the expected liability. The amount of the deposit agreed to in respect of LEFA was \$5 million which was deposited in equal instalments of \$2.5 million in June and July 2009;
- 2. Import duties the Guinea Customs department has completed its review of import duties and accepted the basis and rate currently being applied by the Company. Changes to the rates in the future will be in accordance with the dates and rates stipulated in the Convention de Base;
- 3. Value Added Tax ("VAT") / Royalty payments the Government owes the Company \$20.5 million of VAT. While the Convention de Base states that VAT owing would be refunded, the Government is not up to date with the repayments. Royalty payments have previously been offset against VAT, but are now required to be paid without any such offset.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

FINANCIAL REVIEW

Selected Quarterly Financial Information – Unaudited

Expressed in thousands of United States dollars (except for per share information)	March 31, December 31,		er 31.	September 30,		June 30,		
, , ,	2010	2009	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
Mineral Sales - continuing operations	57,516	49,613	64,876	35,501	34,216	45,075	35,891	42,524
Net profit (loss)								
- continuing operations	9,790	(15,144)	(30,853)	14,100	(20,293)	(45,509)	(4,426)	(24,898)
- discontinued operations	(28)	3,234	12,715	(32,080)	(2,821)	(65,550)	14,974	(5,689)
Total net profit (loss)	9,762	(11,910)	(18,138)	(17,980)	(23,114)	(111,059)	10,548	(30,587)
Net profit (loss) per share								
- continuing operations - basic	0.00	(0.14)	(0.29)	0.11	(0.19)	(0.71)	(0.04)	(0.42)
- continuing operations - diluted	0.00	(0.14)	(0.29)	0.11	(0.19)	(0.71)	(0.04)	(0.42)
- net profit (loss) – basic	0.00	(0.11)	(0.17)	(0.21)	(0.22)	(1.72)	0.10	(0.52)
- net profit (loss) - diluted	0.00	(0.11)	(0.17)	(0.21)	(0.22)	(1.72)	0.10	(0.52)
Operating cash inflows (outflows)	5,029	(3,765)	7,817	(4,517)	(7,724)	(16,287)	4,256	(10,463)
Cash and cash equivalents	28,521	12,602	25,177	18,528	10,782	27,915	18,528	57,458
Total assets	423,201	614,963	423,746	636,598	624,235	636,598	635,747	906,241
Long term debt and liabilities	105,408	289,307	105,474	284,012	294,963	324,600	292,553	389,475
Shareholders' equity	248,107	194,770	238,345	206,436	176,161	206,436	199,065	359,148

EBITDA ⁽¹⁾ is calculated as follows:	March 31,		
	2010	2009	
Net profit (loss) – continuing operations	9,790	(15,144)	
Depletion and depreciation	5,614	16,169	
Interest and finance charges	2,414	6,949	
Stock compensation expense	-	244	
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	(727)	2,547	
Recovery of Income Taxes	-	(811)	
EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	17,091	9,954	

Note – above table updated for restatements required by 2009 implementation of discontinued operations disclosures and 2008 implementation of CICA3064. The above amounts as shown for the quarter ended December 31, 2009 have been obtained through combining the unaudited results of operations for the 72 day period ended December 11, 2009 with those for the 20 day period ended December 31, 2009 as shown in the Consolidated Financial Statements at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008. Prior period financial information has not been restated to reflect the impact of the financial reorganization and accordingly certain amounts in the pre-reorganization Company are not directly comparable.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

Financial Results for the Quarter ended March 31, 2010 – from continuing operations unless otherwise stated

Mineral sales for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 were \$57.5 million (quarter ended March 31, 2009 - \$49.6 million). Sales comprised 52,048 oz at an average realised price of \$1,105/oz (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – 55,510 oz at an average realised price of \$894/oz).

Direct mining costs for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 were \$32.8 million (quarter ended March 31, 2009 - \$31.4 million) and mine site administration costs were \$6.0 million (quarter ended March 31, 2009 - \$6.3 million).

Depletion and depreciation expense, a non-cash measure, for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 was \$5.6 million (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – \$16.2 million). Depletion and depreciation expense was significantly lower in 2010 due to the reduction in asset values following the financial reorganisation on December 11, 2009 and also the very high depreciation charge in Q1 2009 to accelerate the amortisation of some major components of the open pit mining equipment.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010, general corporate expenditures were \$2.7 million (quarter ended March 31, 2009 - \$2.2 million).

Interest and finance charges for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 were \$2.4 million, compared to \$6.9 million in the quarter ended March 31, 2009. The decrease was due mainly to the significant reduction in debt levels following the debt-to-equity restructuring completed in December 2009.

The Company recorded a net gain of \$1.1 million on the disposal of Maco in the quarter ended March 31, 2010 (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – \$nil). The Company also recognised unrealised foreign currency translation gains of \$0.7 million relating mainly to the translation of the NOK denominated debt into US dollars (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – foreign exchange loss of \$2.5 million).

Income tax recovery relating to the unwinding of future income taxes on the LEFA acquisition was nil in the quarter, following the reorganisation in December 2009 (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – \$0.8 million).

Loss from discontinued operations (Nalunaq/Nugget Pond and Maco) totalled \$28,000 in the quarter ended March 31, 2010 (quarter ended March 31, 2009 – net gain of \$3.2 million).

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

At March 31, 2010, Crew held unrestricted cash balances (including discontinued operations) of \$28.5 million. Of the cash held, \$25.7 million was held in US dollars, \$2.7 million was held in Canadian dollars, and \$0.1 million was held in British pounds sterling.

At March 31, 2010, the consolidated working capital comprising cash, restricted cash, accounts receivable, prepayments and inventories, less current liabilities was \$34.2 million (December 31, 2009: \$21.3 million).

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

The Company believes that the LEFA operation will generate sufficient cash flows to repay its debt obligations as they fall due from 2010 to 2012, however, this cannot be assured as the cash flows are dependent on significant factors outside the control of management such as changes in the gold price, foreign exchange rates, political uncertainty and related risk, operating risk and increasing costs of inputs.

The Company plans to continue its capital expenditure program at LEFA to update the processing plant, rebuild the mining fleet, finalize refurbishment of the mills and acquire insurance spares and mobile equipment to improve production. The Company anticipates that these programs, together with restarting the exploration program, will cost approximately \$75 million. The Company anticipates funding the programs from cash flows, but is continually evaluating the timing and funding of the anticipated expenditures.

The Company held no asset backed commercial paper at, or since, the quarter end, and has not entered into gold or other hedging contracts during the year or since the year end. Consideration may be given to hedging in the future but will depend on production rates and anticipated gold prices and exchange rates.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During the quarter ended March 31, 2010 a law firm of which a director is a partner received legal fees of \$108,000 from the Company (quarter ended March 31, 2009 - \$85,000). During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, Crew paid \$30,000 to Endeavour Financial International Corporation ("Endeavour") for financial advisory services (quarter ended March 31, 2009 - nil). An affiliated company of Endeavour owns 43.0% of the issued common shares of the Company. All transactions are in the normal course of operations and are measured at the exchange amount, which is the amount of consideration established and agreed between the related parties.

OUTLOOK

The Company entered 2010 with a restructured balance sheet, a reduced and manageable debt load and a small but committed management team.

Our primary objectives in 2010 are to operate the LEFA plant at full capacity, complete the rebuild of the mining fleet, finalise the refurbishment of the mill and acquire the spares and equipment to allow the production of 20,000 to 25,000 ounces of gold per month. These programs together with an exploration program are estimated to cost approximately \$75 million and the timing and funding of expenditures are being continually evaluated and are dependent on the assessment of risk and the availability of funds, among other things. We expect to launch a significant focussed near mine and regional exploration program later in 2010.

As previously announced, the Company expects that Q2 2010 production will be less than Q1 2010 due to the scheduled plant shutdowns and the mining fleet availability and premature engine failures,

After a period of political uncertainty in Guinea, a new interim Government is in place which includes representatives from many different groups, including opposition parties. Under the changed leadership,

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

the preparation to conduct a democratic election is now underway and is expected to be completed in 2010. Crew believes interest from international corporations is increasing. There has been significant market interest in the Company during the first quarter of 2010 which we believe is positive for all stakeholders.

The Company believes that LEFA has considerable value which can be realised for stakeholders. The Company will continue to explore various strategic alternatives to ensure that the value is realised.

SHARE CAPITAL

The authorized share capital at March 31, 2010 was an unlimited number of common shares without par value. At May 14, 2010, the Company had 2,138,450,563 shares issued and outstanding.

The common shares are a single class, and are entitled to one vote per share on all matters put to shareholders of the Company, dividends, as and when declared by the Board of Directors of the Company, and participation in assets upon dissolution or winding-up.

The Company maintains a Share Option Plan which authorizes our Board of Directors to grant options to directors, officers and employees of Crew or its subsidiaries, to acquire common shares of the Company at a price which is greater than or equal to the fair market value of each common share on the date the option is granted. Options are generally exercisable for up to five years from the date of grant. As at May 14, 2010, there remained 1,828,542 options available for grant.

At May 14, 2010, there were 1,470,521 share options outstanding at a weighted average exercise price of CDN\$9.53 each.

The Company considers capital to be long-term debt and shareholders' equity, comprised of issued share capital. It is the Company's objective to safeguard its ability to continue as a going concern in order to provide returns for shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders and to meet external capital requirements on its debt and credit facilities. The Company manages the capital structure and makes adjustments to it based on changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of the underlying assets. In order to maintain and manage its capital structure, the Company may change the timing of its planned capital asset spending, attempt to issue new shares, seek debt financing subject to existing bond holder approval and sell assets.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in Canada requires companies to establish accounting policies and to make estimates that affect both the amount and timing of the recording of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Some of these estimates require judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain.

All of our significant accounting policies and the estimates derived therefrom are included in Notes 3 and 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008. While all of the significant accounting policies are important to our Consolidated Financial Statements, the following accounting policies, and the estimates derived there from, have been identified

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

as being critical:

- Fresh start accounting;
- Carrying Values of Mining Property, Plant and Equipment and Other Mineral Property Interests;
- Depletion and Depreciation of Mining Property, Plant and Equipment;
- Reclamation and Remediation Obligations; and;
- Income Taxes.

Fresh start accounting

In accordance with Section 1625 of the CICA Handbook, Comprehensive Revaluation of Assets and Liabilities ("CICA 1625"), we reflected new costs established by the Restructuring on December 11, 2009. This is determined to be a "financial reorganization" per CICA 1625. Prior period financial information has not been restated to reflect the impact of the value adjustments and accordingly certain amounts in the pre-reorganization Company are not directly comparable.

The Company has complied with CICA 1625 but believes that certain of the figures as presented on the consolidated balance sheet require further clarification. VAT receivables of \$20.5 million have been reclassified to mineral properties as the precise dates of their repayment cannot be determined at this time.

The cost of the mineral property, plant and equipment has been adjusted to reflect the new cost established in the financial reorganization and the long term debt has been restated to estimated fair value in accordance with CICA 1625.

The actual cash repayments on the long term debt owing by the Company amount to \$108.4 million in 2011 and 2012 based on their face value. These repayments were established in December 2009. However, applying the requirements of CICA 1625 to establish an estimated fair value, the long term debt as at March 31, 2010 has been reduced to \$103.5 million after applying a discount rate of 10% to the long term debt.

Carrying Values of Mining Property, Plant and Equipment and Other Mineral Property Interests

We review and evaluate our mining properties for impairment when events and changes in circumstances indicate that the related carrying amounts may not be recoverable. Impairment is considered to exist if the total estimated future undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying amount of the assets. Estimated undiscounted future net cash flows for properties in which a mineral resource has been identified are calculated using estimated future production, commodity prices, operating and capital costs and reclamation and closure costs. Undiscounted future cash flows for exploration stage mineral properties are estimated by reference to the timing of exploration and / or development work, work programs proposed, the exploration results achieved to date and the likely proceeds receivable if we sold specific properties to third parties. If it is determined that the future net cash flows from a property are less than the carrying value, then an impairment loss is recorded with a charge to operations, to the extent the carrying value exceeds discounted estimated future cash flows.

The estimates we use are subject to various risks and uncertainties. It is possible that changes in estimates could occur which may affect the expected recoverability of our investments in mining projects and other

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

mineral property interests.

Depletion and Depreciation of Property, Plant and Equipment

Mining property, plant and equipment comprise the largest component of our assets and, as such, the amortization of these assets has a significant effect on our financial statements.

Depletion of each mining property is provided on the unit-of-production basis using estimated proven and probable reserves and measured and indicated resources as the depletion basis. The mining plant and equipment and other capital assets are depreciated, over their expected economic lives using either the unit-of-production method or the straight-line method (over 3 to 20 years), as appropriate.

Capital projects in progress are not depreciated until the capital asset has been put into operation.

The proven and probable reserves and measured and indicated resources are determined based on a professional evaluation using accepted international standards for the assessment of mineral reserves and resources. The assessment involves the study of geological, geophysical and economic data and the reliance on a number of assumptions. The estimates of the reserves and resources may change, based on additional knowledge gained subsequent to the initial assessment. This may include additional data available from continuing exploration, results from the reconciliation of actual mining production data against the original reserve and resources estimates, or the impact of economic factors such as changes in the price of commodities or the cost of components of production. A change in the original estimate of reserves and resources would result in a change in the rate of depletion and depreciation of the related mining assets or could result in impairment resulting in a write-down of the assets.

Reclamation and Remediation Obligations

We have obligations for site restoration and decommissioning related to our mining properties. We use mine closure plans, or other similar studies that outline the requirements planned to be carried out, in order to estimate our future obligations from mine closure activities. Because the obligations are dependent on the laws and regulations of the countries in which the mines operate, the requirements could change resulting from amendments in those laws and regulations relating to environmental protection and other legislation affecting resource companies.

We recognize liabilities for statutory, contractual or legal obligations associated with the retirement of mining property, plant and equipment, when those obligations result from the acquisition, construction, development or normal operation of the assets. Initially, a liability for an asset retirement obligation is recognized at its fair value in the period in which it is incurred. Upon initial recognition of the liability, the corresponding asset retirement cost is added to the carrying amount of the related asset and the cost is amortized as an expense over the economic life of the asset using either the unit-of- production method or the straight-line method, as appropriate. Following the initial recognition of the asset retirement obligation, the carrying amount of the liability is increased for the passage of time and adjusted for changes to the amount or timing of the underlying cash flows needed to settle the obligation.

As the estimate of obligations is based on future expectations, we make a number of assumptions and judgments in the determination of closure provisions. The closure provisions are more uncertain the further into the future the mine closure activities are to be carried out. Actual costs incurred in future

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

periods related to the disruption to date could differ materially from the discounted future value we estimated at March 31, 2010 as per the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

The Government of Guinea through the Minister of Environment has been reviewing the requirements for reclamation upon ultimate closure for all of the mines in Guinea. While the establishment of a closure fund in Guinea is not required in the LEFA Project governing document, the Convention de Base, it is however, regular practice in the industry. The Government of Guinea demanded a cash deposit to cover the expected liability and in LEFA's case the agreed amount was \$5 million, which has been deposited.

Income Taxes

Future income tax assets and liabilities are computed based on differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities on the balance sheet and their corresponding tax values, using the enacted or substantially enacted, as applicable, income tax rates at each balance sheet date. Future income tax assets also result from unused loss carry-forwards and other deductions. The valuation of future income tax assets is reviewed quarterly and adjusted, if necessary, by use of a valuation allowance to reflect the estimated realizable amount.

The determination of our ability to utilize tax losses carried forward to offset future income tax payable requires that we exercise judgment and make assumptions about the future performance of the Company. We are required to assess whether the Company is "more likely than not" to benefit from these tax losses. Changes in economic conditions, metal prices and other factors could result in revisions to the estimates of the benefits to be realized or the timing of utilizing the losses.

While the Company has significant future income tax assets, they have not been recognized in the consolidated accounts.

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

The Company and projects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and difficulties frequently encountered by companies engaged in mining operations and the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties. These risk factors could materially affect our future operating results and cause actual future events to differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements. The key risk factors are outlined below.

Additional Financing

The completion, development or rectification of LEFA may require additional financing. Failure to generate the financing required could result in the delay or indefinite postponement of exploration, development or production on the LEFA project. There can be no assurance that additional capital or other types of financing will be available or that, if available, the terms of such financing will be favourable.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk measures the risk that we may not be able to meet our liabilities as they fall due. At the end of March 2010, we had no committed credit facilities in place. We may not be able to meet future

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

liabilities should we not internally generate the required cash flow.

Currency Risk

Results of our projects are reported and measured in US dollars, and are therefore affected by exchange rates between the US dollar and local currencies. All of our revenues are recorded and measured in US dollars. A weaker dollar would cause costs incurred in a currency other than US dollars to increase. We do not, at present, undertake any trading activity in financial instruments; however foreign exchange risk is managed by satisfying foreign denominated expenditures or liabilities with cash flows or assets denominated in the same currency. We fund our foreign currency denominated operations on a short-term basis to minimize the level of foreign currency denominated assets held and therefore, mitigate the risk of exposure against the US dollar.

At March 31, 2010, Crew held unrestricted cash balances (including discontinued operations) of \$28.5 million. Of the cash held, \$25.7 million was held in US dollars, \$2.7 million was held in Canadian dollars, and \$0.1 million was held in British pounds sterling. At March 31, 2010, the Company had total long-term debt of \$22.4 million denominated in Norwegian kroner and \$86.0 million denominated in US dollars. A 10% strengthening in the Norwegian kroner over the US dollar would result in additional interest and debt repayments of \$2.2 million.

Interest Rate Risk

Monetary assets and liabilities are subject to the risk of movements in interest rates. The Company has long term bond financing subject to floating interest rates and, as a result, the Company is subject to interest rate risk from fluctuations in interest rates. The Company does not use derivative instruments to manage this risk. At March 31, 2010, the Company had total long-term debt of \$22.4 million denominated in Norwegian kroner and \$86.0 million denominated in US dollars. These liabilities are held either at fixed interest terms (\$58.4 million) or at floating rates (\$50.0 million) linked to LIBOR or NIBOR interest rates. A 1% increase in the interest rates pertaining to the floating rate liabilities would result in an additional annualized interest expense of approximately \$0.5 million.

The cash deposits are held in the United Kingdom in the multiple local currency accounts at floating interest rates. Interest rates are commercial rates, which are fixed by reference to LIBOR for sterling and dollar assets, or the applicable inter-bank interest rates for financial assets held in other currencies.

Exploration, Development and Operating Risk

Our activities are primarily directed towards mining operations and the development of our mineral deposits. Our activities also include the exploration for and development of mineral deposits.

Mining operations generally involve a high degree of risk. Our operations are subject to all the hazards and risks normally encountered in the exploration, development and production of gold. These include unusual and unexpected geologic formations, rock bursts, cave-ins, adverse weather conditions, flooding and other conditions involved in the drilling and removal of material, any of which could result in damage to, or destruction of, mines and other producing facilities, damage to life or property, environmental damage and possible legal liability. Although adequate precautions to minimize risk are and will be taken, operations are subject to risks which may result in environmental pollution and consequent liability.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

The exploration for and development of mineral deposits involves significant risks which even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. While the discovery of an ore body may result in substantial rewards, few properties which are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. Major expenses may be required to locate and establish mineral reserves, to develop metallurgical processes and to construct mining and processing facilities at a particular site. It is impossible to ensure that the exploration or development programs that we plan will result in a profitable commercial mining operation.

Whether a mineral deposit will be commercially viable depends on a number of factors, some of which are: the particular attributes of the deposit, such as size, grade, depth and proximity to infrastructure; commodity prices which are highly cyclical; cost of fuel; government regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection; and country stability. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in an inadequate return on invested capital.

There is no certainty that our expenditures towards the search and evaluation of mineral deposits will result in discoveries of commercial quantities of ore.

Foreign Operations

Crew's mining activities are exposed to varying degrees of political, economic and other risks and uncertainties.

These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to: terrorist activities, hyperinflation, labour unrest, the risks of war or civil unrest, expropriation, national strikes, martial law and nationalization, renegotiation or nullification of existing concessions, licenses, permits and contracts, illegal mining, changes in taxation policies, restrictions on foreign exchange and repatriation, and changing political conditions, currency controls and governmental regulations that favour or require the awarding of contracts to local contractors or require foreign contractors to employ citizens of, or purchase supplies from, a particular jurisdiction.

Changes in mining or investment policies or shifts in political attitude could materially impact our financial results. Operations may be affected in varying degrees by government regulations with respect to, but not limited to, restrictions on production, price controls, export controls, currency remittance, import duties and income taxes, expropriation of property, foreign investment, maintenance of claims, environmental legislation, land use, land claims of local people, water use and mine safety. Failure to comply strictly with applicable laws, regulations and local practices relating to mineral right applications and tenure, could result in loss, reduction or expropriation of entitlements, or the imposition of additional local or foreign parties as joint venture partners with carried or other interests. The occurrence of these various factors and uncertainties cannot be accurately predicted and could have an adverse effect on profitability.

In various ways, changes in the Government of Guinea have impacted the Company's operations. This has taken the form of operational stoppages and delays in gold shipments as the Government established new controls over gold exports and environmental issues. The review of all mining operations in Guinea is continuing and Crew is in continuous dialogue with the Government and supportive of the Government's review. Gold shipment procedures were demonstrated in detail to Government officials

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

and are completely transparent to the Government of Guinea. The issues related to the strategy for reclamation upon ultimate closure and its funding are being fully communicated to, and reviewed with, the Government. Discussions are also ongoing with respect to Import Duties, Value Added Tax and Royalty payments. See "LEFA Gold Mine – Government Issues".

Insurance and Uninsured Risks

Our business is subject to a number of risks and hazards generally, including adverse environmental conditions, industrial accidents, labour disputes, unusual or unexpected geological conditions, ground or slope failures, cave-ins, changes in the regulatory environment and natural phenomena such as inclement weather conditions, floods, snow falls and avalanches. Such occurrences could result in damage to mineral properties or production facilities, personal injury or death, environmental damage to the Company's properties or the properties of others, delays in mining, monetary losses and possible legal liability.

Although we maintain insurance to protect against certain risks in such amounts as we consider reasonable, our insurance will not cover all the potential risks associated with a mining company's operations. We also are unable to maintain insurance to cover some of these risks at economically feasible premiums.

Insurance coverage may not continue to be available or may not be adequate to cover any resulting liability. Moreover, insurance against risks such as environmental pollution or other hazards as a result of exploration and production is not generally available to companies in the mining industry on acceptable terms.

We may also become liable for pollution or other hazards which may not be insured against or which we may elect not to insure against because of premium costs or other reasons. Losses from these events may result in significant costs that could have a material adverse effect upon our financial performance and results of operations.

Environmental Risks and Hazards

All phases of our operations are subject to environmental regulation in the various jurisdictions where we operate. These regulations mandate, among other things, the maintenance of air and water quality standards and land reclamation. They also set forth limitations on the generation, transportation, storage and disposal of solid and hazardous waste. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which may require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. There is no assurance that future changes in environmental regulation, if any, will not adversely affect our operations.

Government approvals and permits are currently, and may in the future be, required in connection with our operations. To the extent such approvals are required and not obtained; we may be curtailed or prohibited from continuing mining operations or from proceeding with planned exploration or development of mineral properties.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permitting requirements may result in

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

enforcement actions, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations or in the exploration or development of mineral properties may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the mining activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations. Amendments to current laws, regulations and permits governing operations and activities of mining and exploration companies, or more stringent implementation thereof, could have a material adverse impact on us and cause increases in exploration expenses, capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or require abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

Uncertainty in the Estimation of Ore/Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources

The figures for ore/mineral reserves and mineral resources contained in this document are estimates only and no assurance can be given that the anticipated tonnages and grades will be achieved, that the indicated level of recovery will be realized or that ore/mineral reserves could be mined or processed profitably.

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating ore/mineral reserves and mineral resources, including many factors beyond our control. Such estimation is a subjective process, and the accuracy of any reserve or resource estimate is a function of the quantity and quality of available data and of the assumptions made and judgments used in engineering and geological interpretation. Short-term operating factors relating to the ore/mineral reserves, such as the need for orderly development of the ore bodies or the processing of new or different ore grades, may cause the mining operation to be unprofitable in any particular accounting period. In addition, there can be no assurance that gold recoveries derived from small-scale laboratory tests will be duplicated in larger scale tests under on-site conditions or during production.

Fluctuation in commodity prices, results of drilling, metallurgical testing and production and the evaluation of mine plans subsequent to the date of any estimate may require revision of such estimate. The volume and grade of reserves mined and processed and recovery rates may not be the same as currently anticipated. Any material reductions in estimates of ore/mineral reserves and mineral resources, or of our ability to extract these ore/mineral reserves, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Additional Ore and Mineral Reserves

Because mines have limited lives based on proven and probable ore/mineral reserves, we must continually replace and expand our ore/mineral reserves as we produce gold. The life-of-mine estimates for our mine may not be correct. Our ability to maintain or increase our annual production of gold will be dependent on our ability to bring new mines into production and to expand ore/mineral reserves at our existing mine.

Commodity Prices

The market price of our common shares, financial results and exploration, development and mining activities have previously been, and may in the future be, adversely affected by declines in commodity prices, which are subject to significant fluctuation. The factors giving rise to these fluctuations are

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

generally out of our control, being largely driven by external global economic factors.

In particular, the price of gold has fluctuated significantly in recent years. Declines in the price of gold in the future could render our exploration and mining activities uneconomical until such time as the price recovers. These declines could result in a re-calculation of life-of-mine plans and reserve calculations which could have a material adverse affect on measured financial performance.

Government Regulation

Our mining, processing, development and mineral exploration activities are subject to various laws governing prospecting, development, production, taxes, labour standards and occupational health, mine safety, toxic substances, land use, water use, land claims of local people and other matters. Although we believe our mining operations and exploration and development activities are currently carried out in accordance with all applicable rules and regulations, no assurance can be given that new rules and regulations will not be enacted or that existing rules and regulations will not be applied in a manner which could limit or curtail production or development. Amendments to current laws and regulations governing operations and mining activities or their application could have a substantial adverse impact on our company.

Acquisitions

We could fail to select appropriate acquisition targets, fail to negotiate favourable acquisition or financing terms, or could complete acquisitions or business arrangements which do not ultimately benefit our ongoing business. We also face strong competition from other mining and exploration companies in connection with the acquisition of properties producing, or capable of producing, precious metals, and many of these competing companies have greater financial resources than Crew.

Risks Relating to Acquisitions

There can be no assurance that the benefits anticipated from the Corporation's acquisition of Guinor Gold Corporation will be realized by the Corporation.

The carbon-in-leach gold processing plant (the "CIL Plant") at LEFA may not achieve the production capacity or production cost per ounce expected by Crew. Such failures could have a material adverse affect on the Corporation's future production, profitability, financial performance and results of operations.

Market Price of Stock

Our common shares are listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange and the Oslo Børs.

Securities of mining and exploration companies have experienced substantial volatility in the past, often based on factors unrelated to the financial performance or prospects of the companies involved. These factors include global macroeconomic developments and market perceptions of the attractiveness of particular industries. Our share price is also likely to be significantly affected by short-term changes in gold prices or in our financial condition or results of operations as reflected in our quarterly financial statements.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

As a result of any of these factors, the market price of our common shares at any given point in time may not accurately reflect our long-term value. Securities class action litigation often has been brought against companies following periods of volatility in the market price of their securities. Crew may in the future be the target of similar litigation. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and damages and divert management's attention and resources.

Sales of a large number of our common shares in the public markets, or the potential for such sales, could decrease the trading price of our shares, and could impair our ability to raise capital through future share issues.

Dependence on Key Personnel

Our success is dependent on senior management. The experience of these individuals will be a factor contributing to our continued success and growth. The loss of one or more of these individuals could have a material adverse effect on our business prospects.

Financial Instruments

In the previous periods, the Company had repurchase obligations in respect of share investments and certain other marketable securities. These instruments were recorded at their fair value on the Company's balance sheet with unrealized gains and losses in each period included in other comprehensive income or net profit as appropriate.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

There were no components of other comprehensive income during the quarter ended March 31, 2010.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting. Any system of internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well designed, has inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer has concluded that internal controls over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with Canadian GAAP are appropriately designed and are operating effectively.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2010, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, its internal control over financial reporting.

INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS ("IFRS")

In 2006, the Canadian Accounting Standards Board ("AcSB") published a new strategic plan that will significantly affect financial reporting requirements for Canadian companies. The AcSB strategic plan

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

outlines the convergence of Canadian GAAP with IFRS over an expected five-year transitional period. In February 2008, the AcSB announced that 2011 is the adoption date for publicly listed companies to use IFRS, replacing Canadian GAAP. The effective date is for interim and annual financial statements relating to fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011. The Company's adoption date of January 1, 2011 will require the restatement for comparative purposes of amounts reported by the Company for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The Company is engaged in an assessment and conversion process which includes consultation with external consulting firms and expects to be ready for the conversion to IFRS in advance of January 1, 2011. As part of the conversion process, the Company will be offering IFRS specific training to finance personnel.

The Company's approach to the conversion to IFRS includes three phases.

- Phase One, an initial general diagnostic of its accounting policies and Canadian GAAP relevant to its financial reporting requirements to determine the key differences and options with respect to acceptable accounting standards under IFRS, was completed in mid 2009;
- Phase Two, an in depth analysis of the impact of those areas identified under phase one, commenced in late 2009 and is expected to be completed in the second quarter of 2010;
- Phase Three, the implementation of the conversion process, through the preparation of the opening balance sheet as at January 1, 2010, will be carried out in the second half of 2010.

The following table provides a more detailed summary of our changeover plan, the key activities involved and the current status of these tasks;

Key Activities	Current Status
 Financial Reporting Analyze and select accounting policies where alternatives are permitted, including IFRS 1 elections Quantify key differences for opening balance sheet Identify and quantify impact on taxation Prepare IFRS consolidated financial statements, including IFRS 1 reconciliations 	 Finalized IFRS 1 elections and formulated policy positions for key accounting differences during 2009 Identification and quantification of taxation impact is in progress Preparation of draft skeleton consolidated financial statements and IFRS 1 reconciliations are planned for the second half of 2010
Personnel and Training Develop IFRS expertise and provide technical training to key finance and accounting personnel at relevant locations	Training will be provided on an as-needed basis during 2010 until full adoption in 2011
Business ActivitiesIdentification of impact on compensation arrangements	Compensation arrangements that rely on indicators from the financial statements are being

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

Assess impact of conversion on budgeting and forecasts	analyzed during the second quarter of 2010Planning is underway
 Control Activities For all accounting policy changes identified, assessment of Internal Controls over Financial Reporting ("ICFR") and Disclosure Controls and Procedures ("DC&P") design and effectiveness implications 	 Any issues with respect ICFR and DC&P are being identified and analyzed Appropriate changes to be implemented by the second half of 2010

At this point, the Company's IT accounting and financial reporting systems are not expected to be significantly impacted.

Based on the review undertaken under Phase One and the work completed to date under Phase Two, the Company is continuing to assess the impact that IFRS will have on its current financial position but believes that it will be limited. At the same time, IFRS will likely require more extensive disclosure and analysis of balances and transactions in the notes to the financial statements. The specific accounting areas that the Company has been focussing its analysis on are outlined below together with the more salient issues under each area:

Key Area	Canadian GAAP (as	IFRS		
	applied by the Company)			
Plant and Equipment	Plant and Equipment is recorded at the new cost established in the financial reorganization. Depreciation is based on useful lives after estimation of residual values.	Plant and Equipment can be recorded at cost or at fair value on transition to IFRS. Depreciation must be based on the useful lives of each significant component with		
Mineral Property	Exploration, evaluation and development costs are capitalized when incurred. They are amortized on the basis of production or written off when the prospect is no longer deemed prospective or is abandoned.	Plant and Equipment IFRS has limited guidance with respect to these costs and currently allows exploration and evaluation costs to be either capitalized or expensed.		
Asset Retirement Obligations	Canadian GAAP limits the definition of AROs to legal obligations. The ARO is calculated using a current credit-adjusted, risk-free rate for	-		

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

	upward adjustments, and the	assessment of the time value of		
	original credit-adjusted, risk-	money and the risk specific to		
	free rate for downward	the liability) and is revised		
	revisions. The original liability	every period to reflect changes		
	is not adjusted for changes in	in assumptions or discount		
	current discount rates.	rates.		
Impairment of long-lived	Impairment tests of long-lived	Impairment tests of "cash-		
assets	assets are considered annually	generating units" are considered		
	based on indications of	annually in the presence of		
	impairment. Impairment tests	indications of impairment.		
	are performed on the basis of	Impairment tests are generally		
	undiscounted future cash flows.	carried out using the discounted		
	Write-downs to net realizable	future cash flow. Write-downs		
	values under an impairment test	to net realizable values under an		
	are permanent changes in the	impairment test can be reversed		
	carrying value of assets. if the conditions of impa			
		cease to exist.		
Functional currency	Functional currency can be	Functional currency should be		
	assessed for the group as a	assessed independently for each		
	whole. We determined the U.S.	subsidiary within a consolidated		
	dollar to be the functional	group and introduces the		
	currency effective July 1, 2005.	concept of primary and		
		secondary factors.		
Stock-based compensation	Stock-based compensation is	Stock-based compensation is		
	determined at grant date using	determined using fair value		
	fair value models (e.g. Black-	models for option awards at		
	Scholes) for option awards.	grant date. A forfeiture rate is		
	Actual forfeitures are only	also estimated at that date and		
	recorded as they occur.	the compensation expense is		
		adjusted for that rate.		

IFRS 1, "First time adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards", generally requires that all IFRS standards and interpretations be accounted for on a retrospective basis. IFRS 1 provides for certain optional exemptions and other mandatory exceptions to this general principal. The most significant IFRS optional exemptions which Crew expects to apply are:

- **Cumulative translation differences** IFRS 1 provides an exemption that allows the Company to reset its cumulative translation difference to zero at the date of transition;
- **Business combinations** IFRS 1 provides an exemption that allows the Company not to have to restate business combinations entered into prior to the date of transition;
- **Borrowing costs** IFRS 1 provides an exemption that allows the Company to apply IAS 23 "Borrowing Costs" from the date of transition to IFRS for all qualifying assets for which the capitalisation start date is on or after that date;
- Share-based payments IFRS 1 provides an exemption that allows the Company to apply IFRS 2 from the date of transition depending on the grant date, vesting terms and settlement of any related liabilities.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

The above comments should not be considered as a complete list of changes that will result from the transition to IFRS as the Company's analysis is still in progress and no final determinations have been made where choices of accounting policies are available. In addition, the accounting bodies responsible for issuing Canadian and IFRS accounting standards have significant ongoing projects that could impact the Company's financial statements as at January 1, 2011 and in subsequent years. In addition, there is an extractive industries project currently underway that will lead to more definitive guidance on the accounting for exploration and evaluation expenditures, but this is still in the discussion paper stage and may not be completed for some time. The Company is continuing to monitor the development of these projects and will assess their impact in the course of its transition process to IFRS.

SAFE HARBOUR STATEMENT

Certain statements contained herein that are not statements of historical fact, may constitute "forward-looking statements" and are made pursuant to applicable and relevant national legislation (including the Safe-Harbour provisions of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995) in countries where Crew is conducting business and/or investor relations. Forward-looking statements, include, but are not limited to those with respect to a) the continuing availability of the SAG mills at the LEFA gold project ("LEFA") in Guinea, b) the anticipated improvements at LEFA from processing plant and mining fleet upgrades and maintenance, c) the political environment in Guinea, d) future operations at LEFA, e) the expected reduction in corporate costs, f) the effect of discontinued operations on Crew's ongoing operations, g) expected expenditures at LEFA, h) the expected future capacity and success of the LEFA mine and its expansion potential, i) government regulation of mining operations, and j) the price of gold. Often, but not always, forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "plans", "expects", "does not expect", "is expected", "targets", "budget", "estimates", "forecasts", "intends", "anticipates" or "does not anticipate", or "believes", or equivalents or variation, including negative variation, of such words and phrases, or state that certain actions, events or results, "may", "could", "would", "might" or "will" be taken, occur or be achieved.

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause the actual results of the Company to be materially different from the historical results or from any future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, among others, (1) the actual results of current exploration activities, (2) conclusions of economic evaluations, (3) changes in project parameters as plans continue to be refined, (4) possible variations in grade and ore densities or recovery rates, (5) failure of plant, equipment or processes to operate as anticipated, (6) accidents, labour disputes and other risks of the mining industry, (7) delays in obtaining government approvals or financing or in completion of development or construction activities, (8) actual cash flow and capital expenditure requirements being greater than anticipated, and (9) risks and uncertainties existing in world capital markets generally. Although Crew has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual events or results to differ from those described in forward-looking statements contained herein, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements.

The material factors and assumptions used to develop forward-looking statements which may be incorrect, include, but are not limited to, (1) there being no significant disruptions affecting operations,

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

For the quarter ended March 31, 2010

(Expressed in US dollars)

whether due to labour disruptions, supply disruptions, damage to equipment or otherwise, (2) continued development, operation and production at LEFA consistent with our current expectations, (3) foreign exchange rates among the currencies the Crew does business in being approximately consistent with current levels, (4) certain price assumptions for gold, (5) prices for electricity, fuel oil and other key supplies remaining consistent with current levels, (6) production forecasts meeting expectations, (7) the accuracy of our current mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates, (8) materials and labour costs increasing on a basis consistent with Crew's expectations, and (9) the actions of the government of Guinea.

Except as may be required by applicable law or stock exchange regulation, the Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this document or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Cautionary Note to US investors — The United States Securities and Exchange Commission permits US mining companies, in their filings with the SEC, to disclose only those mineral deposits that a company can economically and legally extract or produce. We use certain terms in this document, such as "measured", "indicated", and "inferred" "resources", which the SEC guidelines strictly prohibit US registered companies from including in their filings with the SEC. US Investors are urged to consider closely the disclosure from the SEC's website at http://www.sec.gov/edgar.shtml.

NON-GAAP MEASURES

"EBITDA" is a non-GAAP measure of performance that describes earnings before interest, taxes, depletion and depreciation and impairment charges, stock compensation charges, fair value losses or gains on forward obligations and non-cash foreign exchange movements and before discontinued operations.

"Cash cost" is a non-GAAP measure calculated in accordance with the Gold Institute Production Cost Standard and includes site costs for all mining (excluding deferred stripping costs), processing and administration, royalties and production taxes, but exclusive of depletion, depreciation, reclamation, financing costs, capital costs, and exploration costs. Cash cost is presented as we believe it represents an industry standard of comparison.

"Cash cost per ounce" is a non-GAAP measure derived from the cash cost of ounces produced as a measure of total ounces produced.

"Sales price per ounce" is a non-GAAP measure derived by dividing the total cash amounts received on gold sales by the number of ounces sold in the period.

EBITDA, cash cost per ounce and sales price per ounce are not terms defined under Canadian generally accepted accounting principles, nor do they have a standard, agreed upon meaning. As such, EBITDA, cash cost per ounce and sales price per ounce may not be directly comparable to EBITDA, cash cost per ounce and sales price per ounce reported by other similar issuers.