

# **Canadian Public Health Association**

### Setting the Stage for Advancements in Immunization in Canada: Summary Dialogue

The following insights and recommendations are a result of an ongoing dialogue between the CPHA and key stakeholders from government, industry and the public health and health care sectors on the topic of Canada's current immunization environment, Canada's readiness for new developments in immunization and optimizing health benefits to all Canadians for future vaccine developments.

# **National Immunization Registry**

The lack of a national immunization registry in Canada is a significant gap and should be addressed through federal government leadership.

An immunization registry that would connect provincial registries and act as a critical overall surveillance tool should be an integral part of any effective national immunization strategy. The registry—especially when coupled with a reminder system—would help increase uptake and ensure that universal mass vaccinations reach all segments of the population. It would also facilitate the transfer of patients' immunization histories to other regions, should patients move within Canada. It would also enhance national surveillance of vaccine-preventable diseases and help track adverse reactions to vaccines.

A national registry should record target rates, impact, surveillance, delivery and funding. Necessary steps include deciding on the keeper of the registry, identifying the necessary technology or software to capture the desired information and deciding on common definitions. Possible challenges to a national registry include funding and organizational structure.

Some Canadian jurisdictions have systems in place to keep track of immunization records, but communication among provinces and territories is non-existent. Despite the momentum for a national approach, stakeholders have identified the integration of provincial systems as a significant challenge.

#### Harmonization of vaccine delivery and equitable access

Canada currently lacks a harmonized cross-country routine immunization schedule. Stakeholders agree that one of the most important problems to be addressed in the federal/provincial/territorial (F/P/T) environment is the patchwork of vaccine scheduling across the country. In addition, some routine vaccines and vaccines for special circumstances are publicly funded in some jurisdictions but not in others.

Immunization schedules should be harmonized across jurisdictions; harmonization could still allow provinces to address localized outbreaks separately.

Living in a "have—not" region should not affect access to a routine NACI-recommended vaccine; nor should a move from one jurisdiction to another put a child or youth at increased risk for missing a vaccine because of regional variations in vaccine schedules.



The scheduling variability among the provinces is a holdover from the past, reflecting events that occurred before some vaccines became available. For example, when a variety of a particular new vaccine was introduced several years ago, some regions only came on board once they saw the federal government was ensuring that everyone could afford them.

Some Stakeholders have suggested that Canada adopt an immunization approach similar to that of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), combined with effective planning and outreach efforts. The CDC sets a national schedule for all 50 states and provides almost immediate funding once recommendations are made to use a particular vaccine.

On the issue of implementation, health care providers and parents remain confused regarding immunization schedules and service delivery. Targeting special populations is another concern.

Stakeholders have identified some issues that could stand in the way of harmonization:

- The federal government's ability to act will be limited if the provinces and territories do not agree.
- Without enough data, evidence and analysis, experts cannot achieve consensus on methods to harmonize schedules while accommodating local epidemiological conditions.
- The government tends to introduce new immunization programs when existing ones are problematic.

An optimal objective for harmonization would be to achieve consensus on a national schedule model by January 1, 2012. Implementation should be phased in afterwards, as two years does not allow enough time to both agree upon and implement the schedule.

#### Sustainable funding

Sustainable funding and service delivery vary across jurisdictions. Some jurisdictions have yet to harmonize their own internal processes. Public health funding is an important factor—provinces with the most financial support have the highest uptake on immunization.

Funding constraints can drive decisions regarding which vaccines to offer, as well as preferred delivery mechanisms. Many stakeholders feel the federal government should provide adequate and conditional funding to play an effective coordinating role. One suggestion was that provinces fund maintenance and upkeep of the immunization program while the federal government funds upfront costs.

Sustainable funding also constrains both pre and post-marketing surveillance, underscoring the need for joint efforts between government and industry.

#### Administration of the vaccine and resources

While school-based immunization is a viable vaccine delivery method for children and adolescents, reaching adults remains a challenge.

Interactions among various health care systems would make vaccination more convenient. If pharmacists, nurse practitioners or licensed practical nurses were allowed to prescribe, dispense and administer vaccines, outreach could be improved. While some stakeholders agree that public health provides a more



efficient immunization delivery system because of its consistency, others believe this would depend on factors such as volume, area and frequency.

Stakeholders suggest that vaccines be treated in the same manner as pharmaceuticals—they should be included in both public and private drug plans, albeit with strict parameters.

Stakeholders also note the difficulty in obtaining adequate funding for immunization delivery, which includes costs related to staffing, education and training in addition to the cost of vaccines.

Storage, packaging and shipping can also be complex and expensive—often more so for vaccines than for other products. Infrastructure challenges include the heavy-duty refrigerators and freezers required for storing vaccines, the alarm systems needed to monitor temperature and other factors such as the logistics and costs related to vaccine distribution and storage. Stakeholders agree that storage is a joint concern shared by industry and public health providers.

#### Alignment of timelines and committees

Since Canadian vaccines undergo a rigorous screening process before they are approved, overlaps and duplication in the work of review bodies tend to extend the approval process for new vaccines.

Some stakeholders have called for alignment of the various F/P/T committees' activities, noting that these could occur simultaneously, potentially speeding up the vaccine licensing process.

A shorter processing time is needed for vaccine evaluation. Currently, the process can remain in the first stage with Health Canada's Biologics and Genetic Therapies Directorate (BGTD) for up to two years, and then potentially spend the same amount of time with NACI, CIC, and the provincial review committees. Some stakeholders recommend concurrent evaluations, rather than the current linear process.

International best practices should be examined and case studies should compare approaches among major jurisdictions; data-sharing will be critical to reduce the vaccine evaluation timeline.

# Fostering partnerships and communication between industry, government and public health stakeholders

Issues facing industry, such as funding and uptake, are similar to those faced by public health and government. It was generally felt that a more coordinated approach to vaccine development would engage all partners at an early stage, rationalize the development of vaccines, their use in public programs and foster greater collaboration among stakeholders.

Immunization programs should be based on disease epidemiology, the need for intervention and the public sector should support pre- and post-market research. This approach would create a true partnership "where we all have a say right from the start."

Sharing the data where possible and appropriate could help streamline the process.

Public confidence is the key to increased immunization uptake and coverage. Decisions on vaccines and their intended use must be free of political considerations—or the public perception of them. If the public



perceives that the pharmaceutical industry is playing an overly influential role, confidence will be damaged and will be difficult to rebuild. Participants agreed that a transparent partnership would be beneficial.

Industry members identified issues with the current purchasing process that treats vaccines as commodities. They noted that vaccines bring enormous value to Canadian society, but this value is not always recognized in the purchasing process. They suggested that public health should focus on the value vaccines provide, as well as their price.

#### **Education and promotion**

Although vaccines have provided tremendous benefits, public acceptance is the key to effectiveness for existing and new immunization programs. Unfortunately, public opinion has been increasingly challenged by concerns regarding vaccine safety.

In particular, anti-science lobby groups such as the anti-vaccine lobby have challenged the need for vaccines and have made strong, widely disseminated public statements about the alleged dangers of immunization. The media has picked up on this controversy, in many cases fuelling it, and creating a negative impact on vaccine knowledge, attitudes and coverage rates.

Recently, the balance has shifted away from recognizing the true benefits of vaccination, toward increased suspicion of adverse effects resulting from immunization. Dr. Butler-Jones commented that many discussions about the HPV vaccine are not about the vaccine itself but about surrounding social and ethical issues. Unfortunately, public misconceptions regarding vaccination tend to persist, despite the overwhelming body of scientific evidence demonstrating both efficacy and safety.

Effective vaccine education and advocacy programs are needed to help overcome resistance to vaccine acceptance. These programs would promote greater public confidence in immunization as the single safest and most effective public health intervention, especially when weighed against the health risks associated with many serious vaccine-preventable illnesses.

However, stakeholders agree that most jurisdictions currently lack the capacity and capability to effectively counter negative messaging and promote the importance of immunization. To overcome the effects of misinformation, information must be both accessible and visible—organizations should use social media tools and web pages to effectively disseminate key messages.

#### **Moving Forward**

During ongoing discussions, a number of issues were raised by stakeholders that have set the stage for further discussion on immunization in Canada:

- A national immunization registry should be implemented to connect provincial registries and act as
  an overall surveillance tool. Ideally, this registry would be backed by federal funding and would be
  accepted by all P/Ts.
- It was generally felt that consideration should be given to improving the national vaccine recommendation process by seeking ways to reduce redundancy between different administrative bodies, examining opportunities for point of engagement with key stakeholders and undertaking analysis simultaneously.



- There was a general desire to better empower NACI, especially given that its members act on a volunteer basis.
- Many stakeholders agree that sustained financial support from both levels of government would help achieve better results in immunization.
- Pharmacies can play a vital role as a locus of vaccine delivery, since pharmacists are uniquely
  placed to work directly with members of the public. They already use computers for record
  keeping and could communicate information electronically.
- There was general agreement that F/P/T government officials, public health authorities, vaccine
  manufacturers, researchers, and health care professionals, should work toward a comprehensive,
  coordinated framework for communicating with the public and other health providers regarding
  the benefits and potential risks of vaccination.
- Strategic public communication plans can help overcome negative perceptions of vaccines and enhance recognition that vaccines represent a worthwhile, responsible public health intervention.
- Evaluating a number of delivery systems would help establish a baseline for staffing and other resources required to implement immunization programs.

#### Conclusion

Stakeholders agree that every child in Canada has the right to be protected against vaccine-preventable diseases. Although Canada has a well-developed immunization system, some of the system's remaining challenges could be resolved through a strengthened national immunization strategy. This could include the creation of a national registry that would be backed by funding and accepted by the provinces.

A strengthened national immunization strategy, endorsed across all sectors, would facilitate multiple improvements to the current system and increased efficiencies. Improvements would include harmonization of childhood immunization schedules across the country, efficient introduction of new vaccines, sustainable funding, and improvements in public access to vaccines.

A strengthened national immunization strategy would also promote more and better opportunities for vaccine and immunization research, vaccine promotion and improved education of health care providers and the general public.



## Dialogue stakeholders include:

Dr. David Allison Chief Medical Officer of Health Eastern Health

Mary Appleton
Executive Coordinator
Canadian Center for Vaccinology

Fadi W. Balesh Manager, Policy Centre for Immunization and Respiratory Infectious Diseases Public Health Agency of Canada

Dr. Luis Barreto Vice President, Public Affairs sanofi pasteur Limited

Christian Blouin
Director, Public Health Policy and Government Relations
Merck Frosst Canada

Dr. David Butler-Jones Chief Public Health Officer Public Health Agency of Canada

Noelle Byrne Product Manager Solvay Pharmaceuticals

Dr. Dan Clow Senior Manager, Health Policy GlaxoSmithKline

Dr. Curtis Cooper Canadian Association of Immunization Research & Evaluation

Janet Cooper Senior Director, Professional Affairs Canadian Pharmacists Association

Marie Adele Davis Executive Director Canadian Paediatric Society



Colleen Donahue
Public Works and Government Services Canada

John Dorsey
Vice President, Marketing
Novartis Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc.

Dr. Denise Elliott Chief, Immunization Program Development Centre for Immunization and Respiratory Infectious Diseases Public Health Agency of Canada

Mahnaz FarhangMehr Chief, Immunization Programs Centre for Immunization and Respiratory Infectious Diseases Public Health Agency of Canada

Dr. Margaret Fast Scientific Director National Collaborating Centre for Infectious Diseases (NCCID)

Mark Ferdinand Vice President, Policy, Research, Regulatory and Scientific Affairs Rx&D

Carolyn Finlayson Manager, Strategic Relations Strategic Policy Directorate Public Health Agency of Canada

Hélène Forest Policy Analyst, Life Science Industries Industry Canada

Graeme Fraser Directory, Health Policy BIOTECanada

Gerry Gallagher Director, Intergovernmental and Stakeholder Policy Division Strategic Policy Directorate Public Health Agency of Canada

Jose Garnica Associate Director, Market Access Wyeth Pharmaceuticals



Dr. Ian Gemmill

Medical Officer of Health

Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox & Addington (KFL&A) Public Health

Dr. Greg Dr. German
Department of Medical Microbiology
The Ottawa Hospital General Campus

Karen Graham Panacea Canada Inc.

Alexandra Henteleff Innovative Solutions - Health Plus

Dr. Paul Hodgson Associate Director, Business Development Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization

Jo-Anne Hutsul
Clinical Editor
Canadian Pharmacists Association

Dr. Arlene King Chief Medical Officer of Health Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care

Irene Klatt
Vice President, Health Insurance
Canadian Life and Health Insurance Association Inc.

Henry Kreker Manager, Scientific, Medical and Photographic Division Public Works and Government Services Canada

Louis Lamarche Novartis Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc.

Monique Landry
Ministère de la santé et des services sociaux du Québec

Dr. Nicole Le Saux Division of Infectious Diseases, Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Canadian Association of Immunization Research & Evaluation



Marise Lemieux Wyeth Pharmaceuticals

Debra Lynkowski Chief Executive Officer Canadian Public Health Association

Vicki MacMurdo

National Immunization Program Manager, Communicable Disease Control Division First Nations and Inuit Health Branch

Robert Main Industry Canada

Jeffrey Malawski National Manager Field Operations Merck Frosst Canada

Dan Markel
Policy Analyst
Centre for Immunization and Respiratory Infectious Diseases
Public Health Agency of Canada

Dr. Carol McConnery College of Family Physicians of Canada

Heather Medwick
President & CEO
International Centre for Infectious Diseases

Patricia Milsom Senior Program Consultant National Microbiology Laboratory Public Health Agency of Canada

Dr. Cory Neudorf Chair Canadian Public Health Association

Grant Perry National Director, Public Affairs GlaxoSmithKline

Carol Richardson

Manager, Programs and Evaluation

Canadian Institutes of Health Research



Dr. Aline Rinfret
Chief, Viral Vaccines Division
Centre for Biologics Evaluation, Biologics and Genetic Therapies Directorate
Health CanadaDr. Philip Schwab
Vice-President, Industry Relations
BIOTECanada

Jill Skinner
Senior Manager, Office of Public Health
Canadian Medical Association

Dr. Rob Van Exan Director, Immunization Policy sanofi pasteur Limited

Liisa Vexler Senior Manager Canadian Coalition for Immunization Awareness & Promotion

Chris Watters
GlaxoSmithKline

Dr. Kumanan Wilson Canada Research Chair, Public Health Policy University of Ottawa

Peter Yim
Director of Outreach and Partnerships
PREVENT