**Table 1: McLeod Feasibility Definition Drilling.** 

DDH (Depth)	UTM Location NAD 83 Zone 18	Angle / direction (True N)	Zone	From	То	Core Length (metres)	ETW (metres)	Zn %	Cu %	Ag g/t	Au g/t
MC-10- 100A* (750m)	308200E, 5504993N	-72°/033°	MCL	660.5	672.2	11.70	8.27	15.37	1.50	51.74	0.60
				660.5	666.5	6.00	4.24	22.48	0.83	33.67	0.40
MC-10-101 (642m)	308274E, 5505024N	-72°/033°	MCL	631.80	639.30	7.50	5.30	8.11	1.08	49.03	0.39
MC-10-105* (642m)	308274E, 5505024N	-72°/033°	MCL	571.10	581.00	9.90	7.00	2.61	0.30	10.67	0.37
MC-10-106B (627m)	308275E, 5505024N	-69°/033°	MCL	568.40	589.00	20.60	14.56	4.37	0.74	13.18	0.17
		including		568.40	569.70	1.30	0.92	34.58	0.55	24.92	0.23
		and		573.00	582.00	9.00	6.36	0.95	1.19	16.67	0.11
		and		583.00	589.00	6.00	4.24	5.44	0.51	9.83	0.28
MC-10- 111A* (591m)	308343E, 5505043N	-70°/032°	MCL	531.00	535.60	4.60	3.25	3.00	0.14	12.39	0.47
			CSR(?)	540.60	546.60	6.00	4.24	7.62	5.51	69.08	0.39
MC-10-119 (609m)	308343E, 5505042N	-72°/033°	MCL	536.20	539.15	2.95	2.09	3.96	0.95	51.14	0.57
			CSR	549.50	555.50	6.00	4.24	2.91	5.62	57.78	0.33
MC-10-120A (531m)	308292E, 5505155N	-71°/032°	MCL	467.00	468.00	1.00	0.71	0.08	1.38	6.00	0.04
MC-10-121* (591m)	308272E, 5505066N	-71°/032°	MCL	464.00	469.00	5.00	3.54	0.19	2.47	16.75	0.47
MC-10-122C (591m)	308272E, 5505066N	-72°/032°	MCL	544.00	550.60	6.60	4.67	2.49	0.64	32.11	0.27
MC-10-123* (650m)	308235E, 5505056N	-71°/034°	MCL	548.90	557.50	8.60	6.08	6.46	0.68	17.26	0.19

Zones: MCL = McLeod (Key Tuffite level), CSR = Copper stringer zone, P = Pipe

ETW = Estimated True Width.

 $Depth = Total \ depth \ drilled \ in \ metres \ (metres).$ 

**Table 1 - Special Note:** Composites for drill holes MC-10-100A, 105, 111A, 121, and 123 each contain a single assay interval with estimated values. The missing intervals are 1 metre or less. The core for these intervals is under engineering study. To arrive at a value for the missing interval, the description of the mineralized zone in the vicinity of the engineering sample was reviewed to ensure the sample had reasonable continuity with respect to overall percentage of mineral species and was expected to be consistent with the surrounding samples for which assay results are reported. If continuity is expected, a value for the engineering interval was determined by taking the weighted average of the sample(s) above and/or the samples(s) below the engineering sample. If the engineering sample is of a separate geological unit, a zero value was used.