Appendix – Reserve & Resource Table

NovaGold Resources Inc.

Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves, Measured, Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources for Gold (Au), Silver (Ag), and Copper (Cu) As at April 30, 2012

Reserves

Property	Reserve	Tonnes	D	iluted Grad	e	Tota	I Contained	Metal	NovaG	old Share	Net After Ea	n-Ins
% Ownership	Category	Millions	Au g/t	Ag g/t	Cu %	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Mlbs Cu	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Moz AuEq	Mlbs Cu
Donlin Gold (1) approximately 0.57 g/t Au Cutoff	Proven	7.7	2.32			0.57			0.29		0.29	
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Barrick Gold U.S. Inc.	Probable	497.1	2.08			33.28			16.64		16.64	
	Total P&P	504.8	2.09			33.85			16.93		16.93	
Galore Creek (2) C\$10.08 NSR Cutoff	Proven	69.0	0.52	4.94	0.61	1.15	11.0	900.0	0.58	5.5	0.67	450.0
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Inc.	Probable	459.1	0.29	6.18	0.58	4.30	91.2	5,900.0	2.15	45.6	2.91	2,950.0
	Total P&P	528.0	0.32	6.02	0.59	5.45	102.2	6,800.0	2.73	51.1	3.58	3,400.0

Resources (Inclusive of Reserves)

Property	Resource	Tonnes	I	n Situ Grad	e	Tota	I Contained	Metal	NovaG	old Share	Net After Ear	n-Ins
% Ownership	Category	Millions	Au g/t	Ag g/t	Cu %	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Mlbs Cu	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Moz AuEq	Mlbs Cu
Donlin Gold (3) approximately 0.46 g/t Au Cutoff	Measured	7.7	2.52			0.63			0.31		0.31	
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Barrick Gold U.S. Inc.	Indicated	533.6	2.24			38.38			19.19		19.19	
	Total M&I	541.3	2.24			39.01			19.50		19.50	
	Inferred	92.2	2.02			5.99			3.00		3.00	
Galore Creek (4) C\$10.08 NSR Cutoff	Measured	108.4	0.48	4.10	0.48	1.70	14.30	1,147.0	0.85	7.15	0.97	573.5
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Limited	Indicated	706.3	0.28	5.38	0.50	6.40	122.10	7,786.0	3.20	61.05	4.21	3,893.0
	Total M&I	814.7	0.31	5.21	0.50	8.00	136.40	8,933.0	4.00	68.20	5.18	4,466.5
	Inferred	346.6	0.24	4.28	0.42	2.70	47.73	3,230.0	1.35	23.87	1.75	1,615.0
Copper Canyon (5)(6) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff 70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited	Inferred	53.7	0.73	10.60	0.50	1.26	18.36	592.0	0.88	12.85	1.10	414.4
70% Ownership 50% Owned by reek Resources Einited	Total Inferred	400.3	0.31	5.14	0.43	3.96	66.09	3,822.0	2.23	36.72	2.84	2,029.4
			0.01		01.0	0.00	50.02	-,3				

Total Proven & Probable Reserves Contained Metal	39.30	102.20	6,800.0	19.66	51.10	20.51	3,400.0
Total Measured & Indicated Contained Metal (inclusive of Reserves)	47.01	136.40	8,933.0	23.50	68.20	24.69	4,466.5
Total Inferred Contained Metal	9.95	66.09	3,822.0	5.23	36.72	5.84	2,029.4

Notes:

a. These resource estimates have been prepared in accordance with NI43-101 and the CIM Definition Standard, unless otherwise noted.

- b. See numbered footnotes below on resource information.
- c. AuEq gold equivalent is calculated using gold and silver in the ratio of gold + silver + (US\$1023 Au + US\$17 Ag) 2008 2010 average metal prices.
- d. Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in apparent summation differences between tonnes, grade and contained metal content
- e. Tonnage and grade measurements are in metric units. Contained gold and silver ounces are reported as troy ounces, contained copper pounds as imperial pounds

Resource Footnotes

(1) Mineral Reserves are contained within Measured and Indicated pit designs, and supported by a mine plan, featuring variable throughput rates, stockpilling and cut-off optimization. The pit designs and mine plan were optimized on diluted grades using the following economic and technical parameters: Metal price for gold of US\$975/oz; reference mining cost of US\$1.67/t incremented US\$0.0031//m with depth from the 220 m elevation (equates to an average mining cost of US\$2.14/t), variable processing cost based on the formula 2.1874 x (S%) + 10.65 for each US\$1 to researd gradinalistrative cost of US\$2.27/t processed; stockpille rehandle cost of US\$2.17/t processed; stockpille rehandle cost of US\$2.17/t processed; stockpille rehandle cost of US\$0.17/t incremented US\$0.0031//m with depth from the 220 m elevation (equates to an average mining cost of US\$2.14/t), variable processing cost based on the formula 2.1874 x (S%) + 10.65 for each US\$1 transfer coveries by rocktype, ranging from 86.66% in an intrusive rocks in the Akiwik domain; refining and freight charges of US\$1.17/k/oz gold; royalty considerations of 4.5%; and variable pit slope angles, ranging from 23° to 43°. Mineral Reserves are reported using an optimized net sales return value based on the following equation: Net Sales Return = Au grade * Recovery * (US\$975/oz - (1.78 + (US\$975/oz - 1.78) * 0.045)) - (10.65 + 2.1874 * (5%) + 2.27 + 0.19) and reported in US\$/tonne. Assuming an average recovery 69.54% and an average S% grade of 1.07%, the marginal gold cutoff grade would be approximately 0.57 g/t, or the gold grade that would equate to a 0.001 NSR cutoff at these same values. The life of mine strip ratio is 5.48. The assumed life-of-mine te is 53.5 kt/d.

⁽²⁾ Mineral Reserves are contained within Measured and Indicated pit designs using metal prices for copper, gold and silver of US\$2.50/lb, US\$1,050/oz, and US\$16.85/oz, respectively. Appropriate mining costs, processing costs, metal recoveries and inter ramp pit slope angles varing from 42° to 55° were used to generate the pit phase designs. Mineral Reserves have been calculated using a 'cashflow grade' (SNSR/SAG mill hr) cut-off which was varied from year to optimize NPV. The net smelter returm (NSR) was calculated as follows: NSR - Recoverable Revenue – TCRC (An a per tonne to basis), where: NSR = Net Smelter Returm; TCRC = Transportation and Refining Costs; Recoverable Revenue = Revenue in Canadian dollars for recoverable gold, and recoverable singer using metal prices of US\$25.01/b, US\$1,050/oz, and US\$16.85/oz for copper, gold, and serverable copverable coverable singer using metal prices of US\$25.01/b, US\$1,050/oz, and US\$16.85/oz for copper, gold, and serve the singer recoverable gold, and recoverable singer using metal prices of US\$25.01/b, US\$1,050/oz, and US\$16.85/oz for copper, gold, and serve the singer as the smelter returm is inger as the singer of US\$1.01/b, US\$1,050/oz, and US\$16.85/oz for copper, gold, and serve the singer as the singer

(3) Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Mineral Resources are contained within a conceptual Measured, Indicated and Inferred optimized pit shell using the following assumptions: gold price of US\$1,200/oz; variable process cost based on 2.1874 * (sulphur grade) + 10.6485; administration cost of US\$2.29/r; refining, freight & marketing (selling costs) of US\$1.85/oz recovered; stockpile rehandle costs of US\$0.20/t processed assuming that 45% of mill feed is rehandled; variable royalty rate, based on royalty of 4.5% * (Au price - selling cost). Mineral Resources have been estimated using a constant Net Sales Return cu-off of US\$0.001/t milled. The Net Sales Return was calculated using the formula: Net Sales Return = Au grade * Recovery * (US\$1200/oz - (1.85 + ((US\$1200/oz - 1.85) * (.045))) - (10.65 + 2.1874 * (5%) + 2.29 + 0.20)) and reported in US\$/tonne. See "Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Estimates".

(4) Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves. Mineral resources are contained within a conceptual Measured, Indicated and Inferred optimized pit shell using the same economic and technical parameters as used for Mineral Reserves. Tonnages are assigned based on proportion of the block below topography. The overburden/bedrock boundary has been assigned on a whole block basis. Mineral resources have been estimated using a constant NSR cut-off of C\$10.08/t milled. The Net Smelter Return (NSR) was calculated as follows: NSR = Recoverable Revenue – TCRC (on a per tonne basis), where: NSR = Diluted Net Smelter Return; TCRC = Transportation and Refining Costs; Recoverable Revenue = Revenue in Canadian dollars for recoverable gold, and recoverable silver using silver using silver using the economic and technical parameters mentioned above. The mineral resource includes material within the conceptual M&I pit that is not scheduled for processing in the mine plan but is above cutoff. See "Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Estimates".

⁽⁵⁾ The copper-equivalent grade was calculated as follows: CuEq = Recoverable Revenue ÷ 2204.62 * 100 ÷ 1.55. Where: CuEq = Copper equivalent grade; Recoverable Revenue = Revenue in US dollars for recoverable copper, recoverable gold and recoverable silver using metal prices of US\$1.55//b, US\$650/oz, and US\$11/oz for copper, gold, and silver, respectively; Cu Recovery = 100%. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Resources are in addition to Measured and Indicated Resources. Inferred Resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category. See "Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Restimates".

(6) NovaGold Canada Inc. has agreed to transfer its 60% joint venture interest in the Copper Canyon property to the Galore Creek Partnership, which is equally owned by NovaGold Canada Inc. and a subsidiary of Teck Resources Limited. The remaining 40% joint venture interest in the Copper Canyon property is owned by another wholly owned subsidiary of Teck Resources Limited.

Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Estimates

This summary table uses the term "resources", "measured resources", "indicated resources" and "inferred resources". United States investors are advised that, while such terms are recognized and required by Canadian securities laws, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") does not recognize them. Under United States standards, mineralization may not be classified as a "reserve" unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the reserve determination is made. Mineral resources that all or any part of measured resources will ever be consomicalle verb consomically uncertainty and legally or economically. United States investors are calutioned not to assume that all or any part of the inferred resources will ever be oupgraded to a higher category. Therefore, United States investors are also cautioned not to assume that all or any part of the inferred resources will ever be oupgraded to a higher category. Therefore, United States investors are also cautioned not to assume that all or any part of the inferred resources will ever be oupgraded to a higher category. Therefore, United States investors are also cautioned not to assume that all or any part of the inferred resources will ever be outparted by category. Therefore, United States investors are also cautioned not to assume that all or any part of the inferred resources will ever be condianted by permits issues to report "resources" as in place tonnage and grade without reference to unit measures. Accordingly, information concerning descriptions of mineralization and resources contained outces" in formation made public by United States scored are the public by United States scored are the public by United States outparts and become particle to the reporting and disclosure requirements of the SEC.

NI 43-101 is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators, which established standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. Unless otherwise indicated, all resource estimates contained in this circular have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 and the CIM Definition Standards.

Technical Reports and Qualified Persons

The documents referenced below provide supporting technical information for each of NovaGold's projects.

Project	Qualified Person(s)	Most Recent Disclosure & Filing Date
Donlin Gold	Tony Lipiec, P. Eng., AMEC	Donlin Creek Gold Project
	Gordon Seibel R.M. SME, AMEC	Alaska, USA
	Kirk Hanson P.E., AMEC	NI 43-101 Technical Report on Second Updated Feasibility Study
		amended filing on January 23, 2012
Galore Creek	Robert Gill, P.Eng., AMEC	Galore Creek Copper–Gold Project,
	Jay Melnyk, P.Eng., AMEC	British Columbia, NI 43-101 Technical Report on Pre-Feasibility Study,
	Greg Kulla, P.Geo., AMEC	filed on September 12, 2011
	Greg Wortman, P.Eng., AMEC	
	Dana Rogers, P.Eng., Lemley International	

Copper Canyon

Erin Workman, P.Geo., NovaGold Resources Inc. Not publicly released - updated March 2008