Appendix – Reserve & Resource Table

NovaGold Resources Inc.
Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves, Measured, Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resources for Gold (Au), Silver (Ag), Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn) and Lead (Pb)
As at January 23, 2012

Reserves

Property	Reserve	Tonnes		Dil	uted Grade				Tota	I Contained M	letal			Nova	Gold Share N	et After Ear	n-Ins	
% Ownership	Category	Millions	Aug/t	Ag g/t	Cu %	Zn %	Pb %	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pb	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Moz AuEq	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pb
C																		
Donlin Gold (1) approximately 0.57 g/t Au Cutoff	Proven	7.7	2.32					0.57					0.29		0.29			
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Barrick Gold U.S. Inc.	Probable	497.1	2.08					33.28					16.64		16.64			
	Total P&P	504.8	2.09					33.85					16.93		16.93			
Galore Creek (2) C\$10.08 NSR Cutoff	Proven	69.0	0.52	4.94	0.61			1.15	11.0	900			0.58	5.5	0.67	450		
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Inc.	Probable	459.1	0.29	6.18	0.58			4.30	91.2	5900			2.15	45.6	2.91	2,950		
	Total P&P	528.0	0.32	6.02	0.59			5.45	102.2	6800			2.73	51.1	3.58	3.400		

_		
Pasourcas	(Inclusive o	of December

Property	Resource	Tonnes		In	Situ Grade				Tota	I Contained M	etal			Nova	Gold Share N	let After Ear	n-Ins	
% Ownership	Category	Millions	Au g∕t	Agg/t	Cu %	Zn %	Pb %	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pb	Moz Au	Moz Ag	Moz AuEq	Mlbs Cu	Mlbs Zn	Mlbs Pb
Donlin Gold (3) approximately 0.46 g/t Au Cutoff	Measured	7.7	2.52					0.63					0.31		0.31			
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Barrick Gold U.S. Inc.	Indicated	533.6	2.24					38.38					19.19		19.19			
	Total M&I	541.3	2.24					39.01					19.50		19.50			
	Inferred	92.2	2.02					5.99					3.00		3.00			
											_							
Galore Creek (4) C\$10.08 NSR Cutoff	Measured	108.4	0.48		0.48			1.70	14.30	1,147.0			0.85	7.15	0.97	573.5		1
50% Ownership - 50% Owned by Teck Resources Limited	Indicated	706.3	0.28	5.38	0.50			6.40	122.10	7,786.0			3.20	61.05	4.21	3,893.0		
	Total M&I	814.7	0.31	5.21	0.50			8.00	136.40	8,933.0			4.00	68.20	5.18	4,466.5		
	Inferred	346.6	0.24	4.28	0.42			2.70	47.73	3,230.0			1.35	23.87	1.75	1,615.0		
Copper Canyon (5) (6) 0.6% CuEq Cutoff	Inferred	53.7	0.73	10.60	0.50			1.26	18.36	592.0			0.88	12.85	1.10	414.4		
70% Ownership - 30% Owned by Teck Resources Limited	monod	00.7	0.70	10.00	0.00			1.20	10.00	072.0			0.00	12.00	1.10			
	Total Inferred	400.3	0.31	5.14	0.43			3.96	66.09	3,822.0			2.23	36.72	2.84	2,029.4		
Ambler (7) \$75 NSR / Tonne Cutoff	Measured																	
100% Ownership	Indicated	16.8	0.83	59.62	4.14	6.02	0.94	0.45	32.29	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3	0.45	32.29	0.98	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3
	Total M&I	16.8	0.83	59.62	4.14	6.02	0.94	0.45	32.29	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3	0.45	32.29	0.98	1,538.3	2,237.0	350.3
	Inferred	12.1	0.67	48.04	3.53	4.94	0.79	0.26	18.67	939.9	1.316.9	211.6	0.26	18.67	0.57	939.9	1.316.9	211.6

Total Proven & Probable Reserves Contained Metal	39.30	102.2	6,800.0			19.66	51.10	20.51	3,400.0		
Total Measured & Indicated Contained Metal (inclusive of Reserves)	47.45	168.69	10,471.32	2,237.0	350.3	23.95	100.49	25.67	6,004.8	2,237.0	350.3
Total Inferred Contained Metal	10.21	84 76	4 761 9	1 316 9	211 6	5 49	55 38	6 41	2 969 3	1 316 9	211.6

Notes:

- a. These resource estimates have been prepared in accordance with NI43-101 and the CIM Definition Standard, unless otherwise noted.
- b. See numbered footnotes below on resource information.
- c. AuEq gold equivalent is calculated using gold and silver in the ratio of gold + silver + (US\$1023 Au + US\$17 Ag) 2008 2010 average metal prices. d. Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in apparent summation differences between tonnes, grade and contained metal content
- e. Tonnage and grade measurements are in metric units. Contained gold and silver ounces are reported as troy ounces, contained copper, zinc, and lead pounds as imperial pounds

Resource Footnotes:

(1) Mineral Reserves are contained within Measured and Indicated pit designs, and supported by a mine plan, featuring variable throughput rates, stockpiling and cut-off optimization. The pit designs and mine plan were optimized on diluted grades using the following economic and technical Metal price for gold of USS975/oz: reference mining cost of USS1.07.1 Intermented USS2.0.031/m. Intermented USS2.0.031/m. Intermented USS2.1.07.1 processed: stockpile rehandle costs of USS0.0031/m. Intermented USS2.0.031/m. Intermented USS2.1.07.1 processed: stockpile rehandle costs of USS0.1.07.1 processed and administrative cost of USS0.2.07.1 processed: stockpile rehandle costs of USS0.0.04.1 processed and reight charges of USS1.0 processed stockpile rehandle costs of USS0.0.04.1 processed and reight charges of USS1.0 processed (USS0.0.0.0 processed). Processed: stockpile rehandle costs of USS0.0.0 processed (USS0.0.0.0.0 processed). Processed: stockpile rehandle costs of USS0.0 processed (USS0.0.0.0.0.0 processed). Processed: stockpile rehandle costs of USS0.0 processed: stockpile rehand

(2) Mineral Reserves are contained within Measured and Indicated pit designs using metal prices for copper, gold and silver of US\$2.50/lb, US\$1,050/oz, and US\$16.85/oz, respectively. Appropriate mining costs, processing costs, metal recoveries and inter ramp pit slope angles varing from 42° to 55° were used to generate the pit phase designs. Mineral Reserves have been calculated using a cashinow grade' (SNSR/SAG mill h) of un-off which was varied from year to year to optimize NPV. The net smelter return (NSR) was calculated as follows: NSR = Recoverable Reservate events (on a per tonne basis), where: NSR = Net Smelter Return; CRCP = Transportation and Refining Costs; Recoverable Revenue = Revenue in Canadian dollars for recoverable copper, recoverable gold, and sliver using metal prices of US\$2.50/nb, US\$1,050/oz, and US\$16.85/oz proper, gold, and sliver, respectively, at an exchange rate of CDN\$1.1 to US\$1.0: Cu Recovery for copper based on mineral zone and total copper grade; for Mineral Reserves this NSR calculation includes mining dilution. SAG throughputs were modeled by correlation with alteration types. Cashflow grades were calculated as the product of NSR value in \$X1 and throughput in t/hr. The life for finine strip ratio is 2.16.

(3) Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Mineral Resources are contained within a conceptual Measured, Indicated and Inferred optimized pit shell using the following assumptions: gold price of US\$1,200/cz; variable process cost based on 2.1874 * (sulphur grade) + 10.6485; administration cost of US\$2,29ft; refining, freight & marketing (selling costs) of US\$1,000/cz; variable process cost based on 2.1874 * (sulphur grade) + 10.6485; administration cost of US\$2,29ft; refining, freight & marketing (selling costs) of US\$1,000/cz (US\$1,000/cz (U

(4) Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves. Mineral resources are contained within a conceptual Measured, Indicated and Inferred optimized pit shell using the same economic and technical parameters as used for Mineral Reserves. Tonnages are assigned based on proportion of the block below topography. The overburden/bedrock boundary has been assigned on a whole block basis. Mineral resources have been estimated using a constant NSR cut-off of C\$10.08/t milled. The Net Smelter Return (NSR) was calculated as follows: NSR = Recoverable Revenue – TCRC (on a per tonne basis), where: NSR = Dilluted Net Smelter Return; TCRC = Transportation and Refining Costs; Recoverable Revenue in Canadian dollars for recoverable legold, and recoverable sliver using sliver using the economic and technical parameters mentioned above. The mineral resource includes material within the conceptual M&I pit that is not scheduled for processing in the mine plan but is above cutoff. See "Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Estimates".

(a) The copper-equivalent grade was calculated as follows: CuEq = Recoverable Revenue + 2204.62 * 100 + 1.55. Where: CuEq = Copper equivalent grade; Recoverable Revenue in US dollars for recoverable copper, recoverable gold and recoverable silver using metal prices of US\$1.55/lb, US\$650/oz, and US\$1.10.2 for copper, gold, and silver, respectively: Ou Recovery = 100%. Mineral Resources in that are not Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Resources and whether they can be mined legally or economically. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of the Inferred Resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category. See "Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Estimates".

(6) NovaCold Canada Inc. has agreed to transfer its 60% joint venture interest in the Copper Canyon property to the Galore Creek Partnership, which is equally owned by NovaGold Canada Inc. and a subsidiary of Teck Resources Limited. The remaining 40% joint venture interest in the Copper Canyon property is owned by another wholly owned a Subsidiary of NovaGold."

(7) Resources stated as contained within a potentially economically minable underground shapes above a US\$75.00/t NSR cut-off. NSR cut-off. NSR calculation is based on assumed metal prices of US\$2.50/b for copper, US\$1,000/cz for gold, US\$16.00/cz for silver, US\$1.00/b for zinc and US\$1.00/b for lead. A mining cost of US\$45.00/t and combined processing and G&A costs of US\$31.00 were assumed to form the basis for the resource NSR cut-off determination. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Resources are in addition to Measured and Indicated Resources. Inferred Resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and whether they can be mined legally or economically. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of the Inferred Resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category. See "Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Estimates".

Cautionary Note Concerning Reserve & Resource Estimates

This summary table uses the term 'resources', 'measured resources', 'indicated 'indicated

NI 43-101 is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators, which established standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. Unless otherwise indicated, all resource estimates contained in this circular have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 and the CIM Definition Standards.

Technical Reports and Qualified Persons

Copper Canvon

Ambler

The documents referenced below provide supporting technical information for each of NovaGold's projects

Project	Qualified Person(s)	Most Recent Disclosure & Filing Date
Donlin Gold	Tony Lipiec, P. Eng., AMEC	Donlin Creek Gold Project
	Gordon Seibel R.M. SME, AMEC	Alaska, USA
	Kirk Hanson P.E., AMEC	NI 43-101 Technical Report on Second Updated Feasibility Study amended filing on January 23, 2012
Galore Creek	Robert Gill, P.Eng., AMEC	Galore Creek Copper-Gold Project,
	Jay Melnyk, P.Eng., AMEC	British Columbia, NI 43-101 Technical Report on Pre-Feasibility Study,
	Greg Kulla, P.Geo., AMEC	filed on September 12, 2011
	Greg Wortman, P.Eng., AMEC	
	Dana Rogers, P.Eng., Lemley International	

Russ White P Geo. SRK Consulting

Neal Rigby, C.Eng., MIMMM, Ph.D.: SRK Consulting

Erin Workman, P.Geo., NovaGold Resources Inc. Not publicly released - updated March 2008

NI 43-101 Preliminary Economic Assessment, Ambler Project - May 9, 2011